

李政道研究所

TSUNG-DAO LEE INSTITUTE



V.Q. Tran

Tsung-Dao Lee Institute - SJTU

In collaboration with Thong T.Q. Nguyen (AS) and T.C. Yuan (AS)







- I. G2HDM a brief review
- II. W mass shift in G2HDM
- **III. Numerical result**
- **IV. Summary**



Huang, Tsai, Yuan 2016









I. G2HDM – particle content



Fermions $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ $\mathrm{SU}(2)_H$ $\mathrm{U}(1)_X$ Matter Fields $SU(3)_C$ $U(1)_Y$ *h*-parity $Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u_L & d_L \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$ $U_R = \begin{pmatrix} u_R & u_R^H \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$ $D_R = \begin{pmatrix} d_R^H & d_R \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$ $\mathbf{2}$ 3 1/60 1 + +3 $\mathbf{2}$ 1 2/31 Quarks 3 $\mathbf{2}$ -1/3-11 -+ $\left(\begin{array}{c} u_L^H \end{array} \right)$ 3 2/31 0 1 d_L^H 3 -1/31 1 0 $L_L = (u_L \ e_L)^{\mathrm{T}}$ -1/21 $\mathbf{2}$ 0 1 + + $N_{R} = \left(\nu_{R} \left[\nu_{R}^{H} \right] \right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ $E_{R} = \left(e_{R}^{H} \left[e_{R} \right] \right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ 1 1 $\mathbf{2}$ 0 1 1 $\mathbf{2}$ -1Leptons 1 -1-+ u_L^H 1 0 1 0 1 e_L^H 0 1 1 1 -1



$$V = -\mu_H^2 \left(H^{\alpha i} H_{\alpha i} \right) + \lambda_H \left(H^{\alpha i} H_{\alpha i} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda'_H \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\gamma\delta} \left(H^{\alpha i} H_{\gamma i} \right) \left(H^{\beta j} H_{\delta j} \right) - \mu_\Phi^2 \Phi_H^{\dagger} \Phi_H + \lambda_\Phi \left(\Phi_H^{\dagger} \Phi_H \right)^2 + \lambda_{H\Phi} \left(H^{\dagger} H \right) \left(\Phi_H^{\dagger} \Phi_H \right) + \lambda'_{H\Phi} \left(H^{\dagger} \Phi_H \right) \left(\Phi_H^{\dagger} H \right)$$

where $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ and (i, j) refer to the $SU(2)_H$ and $SU(2)_L$ indices respectively, all of which run from 1 to 2, and $H^{\alpha i} = H^*_{\alpha i}$.

$$H_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} (G^{+}) \\ \frac{v+h}{\sqrt{2}} + i\frac{G^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \ H_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} (H^{+}) \\ H_{2}^{0} \end{pmatrix}, \ \Phi_{H} = \begin{pmatrix} (G^{p}_{H}) \\ \frac{v_{\Phi} + \phi_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} + i\frac{G^{0}_{H}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Goldstone bosons will be absorbed by W^+ , W^3 , W'^p , W'^3
- h and ϕ_2 are **h-parity even** scalars
- h-parity odd particles



Mixing between h-parity even scalars

$$\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & \sin \theta_1 \\ -\sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 125 GeV SM-like Higgs
 Extra scalar boson
 $\tan 2\theta_1 = \frac{\lambda_{H\Phi} v v_{\Phi}}{\lambda_{\Phi} v_{\Phi}^2 - \lambda_H v^2}$

Mixing between h-parity odd scalars

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_{H}^{p} \\ H_{2}^{0*} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{2} & \sin \theta_{2} \\ -\sin \theta_{2} & \cos \theta_{2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_{H}^{p} \\ D \end{pmatrix}$$
 Nambu-Goldstone

$$\tan 2\theta_{2} = \frac{2vv_{\Phi}}{v_{\Phi}^{2} - v^{2}}$$
 Dark Higgs

I. G2HDM — gauge bosons



SU(2)_H gauge bosons $W'^{(p,m)}$ mass:

$$m_{W'} = \frac{1}{2} g_H \sqrt{v^2 + v_{\Phi}^2}$$

- ✤ W'^(p,m) is electrically neutral and odd under h-parity, thus it can be a DM candidate!
- ♦ Neutral gauge bosons: Mixing between Z^{SM} , W'^3 , X

$$\mathcal{M}_{Z}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{Z^{\text{SM}}}^{2} & -\frac{g_{H}v}{2}m_{Z^{\text{SM}}} & -g_{X}vm_{Z^{\text{SM}}} \\ -\frac{g_{H}v}{2}m_{Z^{\text{SM}}} & m_{W'}^{2} & \frac{g_{X}g_{H}(v^{2}-v_{\Phi}^{2})}{2} \\ -g_{X}vm_{Z^{\text{SM}}} & \frac{g_{X}g_{H}(v^{2}-v_{\Phi}^{2})}{2} & g_{X}^{2}(v^{2}+v_{\Phi}^{2}) + M_{X}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

R. Ramos, VQT, T.C. Yuan 2021

* We require: $\left[\mathbf{0} \sim g_{X} \ll g_{H} \ll g, g' \right]$

To evade Z mass, Z', A' and DMDD constraints

II. W mass shift



Peskin- Takeuchi oblique parameters

M. E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi 1992

$$\hat{\alpha}S = 4\hat{s}_{W}^{2}\hat{c}_{W}^{2}\left[\Pi_{ZZ}^{\prime}(0) - \frac{\hat{c}_{W}^{2} - \hat{s}_{W}^{2}}{\hat{s}_{W}\hat{c}_{W}}\Pi_{Z\gamma}^{\prime}(0) - \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\prime}(0)\right],$$

$$\hat{\alpha}T = \frac{\Pi_{WW}(0)}{m_{W}^{2}} - \frac{\Pi_{ZZ}(0)}{m_{Z}^{2}},$$

$$\hat{\alpha}U = 4\hat{s}_{W}^{2}\left[\Pi_{WW}^{\prime}(0) - \hat{c}_{W}^{2}\Pi_{ZZ}^{\prime}(0) - 2\hat{s}_{W}\hat{c}_{W}\Pi_{Z\gamma}^{\prime}(0) - \hat{s}_{W}^{2}\Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\prime}(0)\right]$$

 $\Pi_{VV}(q^2) = \Pi_{VV}(0) + \Pi'_{VV} q^2 + \cdots$ is **vacuum polarization** of V boson

W boson mass shift

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Delta m_W^2}{m_Z^2} &= \hat{\alpha} \frac{\hat{c}_W^2}{\hat{c}_W^2 - \hat{s}_W^2} \left[-\frac{S}{2} + \hat{c}_W^2 T + \frac{\hat{c}_W^2 - \hat{s}_W^2}{4\hat{s}_W^2} U \right] ,\\ m_W^2 - m_{W_{SM}}^2 &= (-5.22\,S + 8.02\,T + 6.07\,U) \times 10^{-3} . \end{split}$$





- * New gauge bosons contribution: subdominant due to the smallness of the new gauge couplings g_H and g_X
- Heavy fermions contribution: Vanished!





V.Q.Tran – G2HDM – W mass workshop



 W^{\pm}/Z W^{\pm}/Z W^{\pm}/Z W^{\pm}/Z W^{\pm}/Z W^{\pm}/Z h-parity even scalars contribution $\Delta T(\Phi_H) = \frac{3\sin^2\theta_1}{16\pi\hat{s}_W^2} \left| \frac{m_{h_2}^2}{m_{h_2}^2 - m_W^2} \log\left(\frac{m_{h_2}^2}{m_W^2}\right) - \left(\frac{m_Z^2}{m_W^2}\right) \frac{m_{h_2}^2}{m_{h_2}^2 - m_Z^2} \log\left(\frac{m_{h_2}^2}{m_Z^2}\right) \right|$ $-(h_2 \to h_1) \bigg| \; .$ $\Delta S(\Phi_H) = \frac{\sin^2 \theta_1}{12\pi} \left\{ -\frac{2 m_Z^2 \left(m_{h_1}^2 - m_{h_2}^2\right) \left(2 m_{h_1}^2 m_{h_2}^2 + 3 m_Z^2 \left(m_{h_1}^2 + m_{h_2}^2\right) - 8 m_Z^4\right)}{\left(m_1^2 - m_Z^2\right)^2 \left(m_1^2 - m_Z^2\right)^2} \right\}$ $+ \left| \frac{m_{h_2}^2 \left(m_{h_2}^4 - 3m_{h_2}^2 m_Z^2 + 12m_Z^4 \right)}{\left(m^2 - m^2 \right)^3} \log \left(\frac{m_{h_2}^2}{m_Z^2} \right) - \left(m_{h_2} \to m_{h_1} \right) \right| \right\},$ $\Delta U(\Phi_H) = \frac{\sin^2 \theta_1}{12\pi} \left\{ \left| \frac{2 \, m_Z^2 \left(m_{h_1}^2 - m_{h_2}^2 \right) \left(2 m_{h_1}^2 m_{h_2}^2 + 3 m_Z^2 \left(m_{h_1}^2 + m_{h_2}^2 \right) - 8 m_Z^4 \right)}{\left(m_L^2 - m_Z^2 \right)^2 \left(m_L^2 - m_Z^2 \right)^2} \right\}$ $-\frac{m_Z^4 \left(9 m_{h_2}^2+m_Z^2\right)}{\left(m_1^2-m_Z^2\right)^3} \log\left(\frac{m_{h_2}^2}{m_Z^2}\right)+\frac{m_Z^4 \left(9 m_{h_1}^2+m_Z^2\right)}{\left(m_1^2-m_Z^2\right)^3} \log\left(\frac{m_{h_1}^2}{m_Z^2}\right) \right\|$ $-\left|\left(m_Z \to m_W\right)\right|\right\}$.

V.Q.Tran – G2HDM – W mass workshop





This contribution to W mass shift cannot explain CDF II anomaly due to the Higgs signal strength measurement at the LHC





Where $m_{W'}$ is the mass of \tilde{G}_p which is absorbed by the longitudinal component of W'

And

$$F(m_1, m_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{m_1^2 + m_2^2}{2} - \frac{m_1^2 m_2^2}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \log\left(\frac{m_1^2}{m_2^2}\right) & \text{for } m_1 \neq m_2 ,\\ 0 & \text{for } m_1 = m_2 . \end{cases}$$

h-parity odd scalars contribution

$$\begin{split} \Delta S(H_2) &= \frac{1}{36\pi} \left\{ -3 \log \left(\frac{m_{H^{\pm}}^2}{m_D^2} \right) + 6 \left(\cos^4 \theta_2 - 1 \right) \right. \\ &+ 3 \left(2 - \log \left(\frac{m_D^2}{m_{W'}^2} \right) \right) \sin^4 \theta_2 + \frac{1}{4} G(m_D, m_{W'}) \sin^2 2\theta_2 \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta U(H_2) &= \frac{1}{36\pi} \left\{ -3 \log \left(\frac{m_{H^{\pm}}^2}{m_D^2} \right) - 6 \left(\cos^4 \theta_2 + 1 \right) + G \left(m_D, m_{H^{\pm}} \right) \cos^2 \theta_2 \\ &- 3 \left(2 - \log \left(\frac{m_D^2}{m_{W'}^2} \right) \right) \sin^4 \theta_2 \\ &- \left(6 \log \left(\frac{m_D^2}{m_{W'}^2} \right) - G(m_{W'}, m_{H^{\pm}}) \right) \sin^2 \theta_2 \\ &- \frac{1}{4} G \left(m_D, m_{W'} \right) \sin^2 2 \theta_2 \right\} \,, \end{split}$$

Where:

$$G(m_1, m_2) = \frac{\left(7m_1^4 - 2m_1^2m_2^2 + 7m_2^4\right)}{\left(m_1^2 - m_2^2\right)^2} - 6\frac{m_2^4\left(3m_1^2 - m_2^2\right)}{\left(m_1^2 - m_2^2\right)^3}\log\left(\frac{m_1^2}{m_2^2}\right)$$

in the limit of $m_2 \rightarrow m_1$, $G(m_1, m_1) = 12$.









III. Numerical result – constraint

Constraints

- 1. Vaccuum stability and perturbative unitarity R. Ramos, VQT, T.C. Yuan 2021
- 2. Higgs data at LHC including Higgs decays into fermions and Higgs decays into diphoton f
 - Higgs decays into $\tau^+ \tau^ \mu_{a a H}^{\tau^+ \tau^-} = 1.05^{+0.53}_{-0.47}$ CMS
 - Higgs decays into diphoton

$$\mu_{ggH}^{\gamma\gamma} = 0.96 \pm 0.14. \quad \text{ATLAS}$$

3. Global fit values for **S**, **T** and **U** oblique parameters which have been included the new high-precision W-boson mass measurement at CDF II:

$$\Delta S = 0.005 \pm 0.096$$

$$\Delta T = 0.040 \pm 0.120$$

$$\Delta U = 0.134 \pm 0.087$$

$$\delta_{ST} = 0.91, \delta_{SU} = -0.65, \delta_{TU} = -0.88$$

J. de Blas, M. Pierini, L. Reina, and L. Silvestrini 2204.04204







III. Numerical result - scanning



Parameter scanning range

$$\begin{split} m_{h_2} &= [125, 5000] \, \text{GeV} \\ m_{H^{\pm}} &= [100, 5000] \, \text{GeV} \\ m_{H^{\pm}} - m_D &= [-500, 500] \, \text{GeV} \\ m_{W'} &= [0.1, 5000] \, \text{GeV} \\ \theta_1 &= \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \text{rad} \\ \theta_2 &= \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \text{rad} \end{split}$$

- We sample the parameter space using *emcee* package
- We employ two sets of scanning: one using the new global fit values for the oblique parameters (CDF-2022) and one using the old global fit values (PDG-2021)

III. Numerical result





CDF region preferred a non-degenerate mass between charged Higgs and dark Higgs

Di-photon and $Z\gamma$ production





- Effects from the mixing between the SM-like Higgs h_1 and extra scalar h_2
- New contributions from the charged Higgs and heavy fermions in the loop
- CDF region prefers to a larger deviation as compared with PDG region

Dark matter







- We computed the contributions to the Peskin-Takeuchi oblique parameters S, T and U from additional Higgs doublets (h-parity even and odd) and extra heavy fermions in G2HDM
- We found out the effects from the h-parity even scalars and the extra heavy fermions are small, while the h-parity odd scalars can give a sizable effect.
- The new W mass measurement at CDF II can give discernible impacts on the mass splitting and mixing effect among the hparity odd scalars
- ✓ We show the **impact** on the collider searches for **di-photon** and γZ productions, and DM physics in the model

Thank You