Implications of the NANOGrav pulsar-timing results on stochastic gravitational wave background



Kin-Wang Ng (吳建宏)

Institute of Physics & Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics Academia Sinica

NCTS Annual Theory Meeting 2020 National Tsing Hua University Hsinchu, Dec 9-11, 2020

Outline

- Stochastic gravitational wave background
- Pulsar timing arrays
- NANOGrav results
- Perspectives

Gravitational Waves

$$h_{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{P=+,\times} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int_{S^2} d\mathbf{n} h_P(f, \mathbf{n}) e^{2\pi i f(-t+\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x})} e_{ij}^P(\mathbf{n}).$$
(1)

Here, the bases for transverse-traceless tensor e^{P} ($P = +, \times$) are given as

$$e^{+} = \hat{e}_{\theta} \otimes \hat{e}_{\theta} - \hat{e}_{\phi} \otimes \hat{e}_{\phi}, \qquad e^{\times} = \hat{e}_{\theta} \otimes \hat{e}_{\phi} + \hat{e}_{\phi} \otimes \hat{e}_{\theta},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle h_{+}(f,n)h_{+}^{*}(f',n') \rangle & \langle h_{+}(f,n)h_{\times}^{*}(f',n') \rangle \\ \langle h_{\times}(f,n)h_{+}^{*}(f',n') \rangle & \langle h_{\times}(f,n)h_{\times}^{*}(f',n') \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{2}(n-n')\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(f-f')$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} I(f,n) + Q(f,n) & U(f,n) - iV(f,n) \\ U(f,n) + iV(f,n) & I(f,n) - Q(f,n) \end{pmatrix},$$



Q= <++> -
U is the same as in
a frame rotated by
$$\pi/8$$

$$e^{R} = \frac{(e^{+} + ie^{\times})}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad e^{L} = \frac{(e^{+} - ie^{\times})}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \langle h_{R}(f, n)h_{R}(f', n')^{*} \rangle & \langle h_{L}(f, n)h_{R}(f', n')^{*} \rangle \\ \langle h_{R}(f, n)h_{L}(f', n')^{*} \rangle & \langle h_{L}(f, n)h_{L}(f', n')^{*} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{D}(n - n')^{2}\delta_{D}(f - f') \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} I(f, n) + V(f, n) & Q(f, n) - iU(f, n) \\ Q(f, n) + iU(f, n) & I(f, n) - V(f, n) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Cosmological GW spectral energy density

tion h_{ij} is gauged to be transverse-traceless. The latter can be decomposed into two polarization unit tensors as

$$h_{ij}(\eta, \vec{x}) = \sum_{\lambda=+,\times} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} h_\lambda(\eta, \vec{k}) \epsilon_{ij}^\lambda(\hat{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}, \qquad (2)$$

where $h_{\lambda}(\eta, \vec{k})$ is a Gaussian random field that defines the power spectrum of tensor perturbation,

$$\langle h_{\lambda}(\eta,\vec{k})h_{\lambda'}^{\star}(\eta,\vec{k}')\rangle = \delta(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')\frac{2\pi^2}{k^3}\mathcal{P}_{h}^{\lambda\lambda'}(\eta,k).$$
(3)

In the following, we will assume that $\mathcal{P}_{h}^{\lambda\lambda'}(\eta, k) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\mathcal{P}_{h}(\eta, k)$. Then, the spectral energy density of the GWs relative to the critical density is given by

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(\eta,k,\hat{k}) \equiv \frac{k}{\rho_c} \frac{d\rho_{\rm GW}}{dkd^2\hat{k}} = \frac{1}{96\pi} \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^2 \bar{\mathcal{P}}_h(\eta,k), \quad (4)$$

where $\rho_c = 3M_p^2 H^2$ and the overbar denotes taking a time-average. For k-modes that re-enter the horizon

Cosmological sources for gravitational waves



Increasing strength of gravitational waves

GWs associated with the formation of primordial black holes in axion inflation



Current Pulsar Timing Arrays



Nano-Hz GWs cause small correlated changes to the times of arrival of radio pulses from millisecond pulsars

International Pulsar Timing Arrays





Image: Jitter in the NANOGrav pulsar J2145-0750. Each pulse is actually made of 10 individual pulses for clarity, but one can see that even these averages "jitter" around compared to the very stable average pulse at top. Reproduced from "Science with the Next-Generation VLA and Pulsar Timing Arrays"

The "miracle" of pulsar timing relies on the fact that the average pulse shape of pulsars is very stable. Over the course of decades, we can therefore determine the time of arrival (TOA) at our telescopes very precisely.

PSR J2145-0750 Period: 16.5ms TOA Uncertainty: 0.229µs



North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves

North American Nanohortz Observatory for Gravitational Wave A National Science Foundation Physics Frontiers Center



We search for an isotropic stochastic gravitational-wave background (GWB) in the 12.5-year pulsar timing data set collected by the North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves (NANOGrav). Our analysis finds strong evidence of a stochastic process, modeled as a power-law, with common amplitude and spectral slope across pulsars. The Bayesian posterior of the amplitude for a $f^{-2/3}$ power-law spectrum, expressed as characteristic GW strain, has median 1.92×10^{-15} and 5%–95% quantiles of $1.37-2.67 \times 10^{-15}$ at a reference frequency of $f_{\rm yr} = 1 \ {\rm yr}^{-1}$. The Bayes factor in favor of the common-spectrum process versus independent red-noise processes in each pulsar exceeds 10,000. However, we find no statistically significant evidence that this process has quadrupolar spatial correlations, which we would consider necessary to claim a GWB detection consistent with General Relativity. We find that the process has neither monopolar nor dipolar correlations, which may arise from, for example, reference clock or solar-system ephemeris systematics, respectively. The amplitude posterior has significant support above previously reported upper limits; we explain this in terms of the Bayesian priors assumed for intrinsic pulsar red noise. We examine potential implications for the supermassive black hole binary population under the hypothesis that the signal is indeed astrophysical in nature.

$$\Omega_{\rm GW} h^2 = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} h_c^2 \left(\frac{f_{\rm yr}}{100\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}Mpc^{-1}}} \right)^2 \simeq 2.3 \times 10^{-9}$$

Pulsar Timing – MSPs are precise clocks





The NANOGrav 12.5 year data set: 45 MSPs



Signal

$$h_c(f) = A_{\rm GWB} \left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm yr}}\right)^{\alpha}$$
 $\alpha = -2/3$ for a population of inspiraling SMBHBs

Pulsar residual

$$\langle r_a^*(t_j)r_b(t_k)\rangle = S_{ab}(f) = \Gamma_{ab}\frac{A_{\rm GWB}^2}{12\pi^2} \left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm yr}}\right)^{-\gamma} f_{\rm yr}^{-3}$$
 $\gamma = 3 - 2\alpha$

- Monopolar ORF Γ_{ab} =1 (*due to clock error*)
- Dipolar ORF Γ_{ab} = cos ζ (due to error in solar system ephemeris)
- Quadupolar ORF Γ_{ab} = HD curve (genuine GWB signal)

<u>Noise</u>

white noises (instrumental) + pulsar intrinsic red noise (including pulsar spin noise, pulsar profile changes, dispersion measure variations,...)

$$N_{aa}(f) = A_{red}^{2} (f / f_{yr})^{-\gamma} f_{yr}^{-3}$$

common-spectrum process across MSPs S_{aa}(f)





Perspectives

NANOGrav projection





FIG. 1b



FIG. 3.—Cross-correlation of 53 GHz with 90 GHz for $|b| > 20^{\circ}$ plus the correlation function for a scale-invariant spectrum with an expected quadrupole amplitude of 15.4 μ K: the gray band indicates 68% C.L. cosmic variations. *Top* is for the sum maps, and *bottom* is for the difference maps. The cross and autocorrelations for the various combination of maps all have consistent values.

Power spectrum of the correlation (I-space)



Extragalactic pulsars at redshift \overline{z}

$$\langle \delta z(\mathbf{e_1}) \delta z(\mathbf{e_2}) \rangle = (1 + \bar{z})^2 \sum_l \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} C_l P_l(\mathbf{e_1} \cdot \mathbf{e_2})$$

Sachs-Wolfe effect

$$C_{l} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (l+2)(l+1)l(l-1) \times \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{k} \left| \int_{\eta_{e}}^{\eta_{r}} d\eta \frac{dh(k\eta)}{d\eta} \frac{j_{l}[k(\eta_{r}-\eta)]}{k^{2}(\eta_{r}-\eta)^{2}} \right|^{2}$$

Assume GW of k_* have amplitude H_* at time η_*

$$C_{l} \simeq \frac{2H_{*}^{2}}{\pi M_{p}^{2}} \left(\frac{\eta_{*}}{\eta_{0}}\right)^{4} \left[\frac{1}{(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)} + \frac{1}{x_{0}^{2}} \frac{(l+2)(l-1)}{(l+1)l}\right]$$

 $x_0 = k_* \eta_0$ η_0 is the present time

Anisotropic polarized SGWB

$$\begin{split} \langle r(t_a)r(t_b)\rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{f^2} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i f t_a}\right) (1 - e^{2\pi i f t_b}) \sum_{L_1 M_1 L_2 M_2} i^{L_1} (-i)^{L_2} J_{L_1, L_2}(x_a, x_b) \times \\ Y_{L_1 M_1}(\hat{e}_a) Y_{L_2 M_2}^*(\hat{e}_b) \int_{S^2} \mathrm{d}\hat{k} \ R(f, \hat{k}, \hat{e}_a, \hat{e}_b) \ Y_{L_1 M_1}^*(\hat{k}) Y_{L_2 M_2}(\hat{k}) \,, \\ \\ \overline{x_a = 2\pi f L_a/c, x_b = 2\pi f L_b/c,} \quad \overline{J_{L_1, L_2}(x_a, x_b)} = \int_0^{x_a} \mathrm{d}x \ e^{ix} j_{L_1}(x) \int_0^{x_b} \mathrm{d}x \ e^{-ix} j_{L_2}(x) \end{split}$$

$$R(f, \hat{k}, \hat{e}_a, \hat{e}_b) = \sum_{AA'} P_{AA'}(f, \hat{k}) \, d_a^{ij} d_b^{kl} \, \mathbf{e}_{ij}^A(\hat{k}) \mathbf{e}_{kl}^{*A'}(\hat{k})$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}^{I}_{ijkl}(\hat{k}) &= \mathbf{e}^{R}_{ij}(\hat{k})\mathbf{e}^{*R}_{kl}(\hat{k}) + \mathbf{e}^{L}_{ij}(\hat{k})\mathbf{e}^{*L}_{kl}(\hat{k}) \,, \\ \mathbb{E}^{V}_{ijkl}(\hat{k}) &= \mathbf{e}^{R}_{ij}(\hat{k})\mathbf{e}^{*R}_{kl}(\hat{k}) - \mathbf{e}^{L}_{ij}(\hat{k})\mathbf{e}^{*L}_{kl}(\hat{k}) \,, \\ \mathbb{E}^{Q+iU}_{ijkl}(\hat{k}) &= \mathbf{e}^{L}_{ij}(\hat{k})\mathbf{e}^{*R}_{kl}(\hat{k}) \,, \\ \mathbb{E}^{Q-iU}_{ijkl}(\hat{k}) &= \mathbf{e}^{R}_{ij}(\hat{k})\mathbf{e}^{*L}_{kl}(\hat{k}) \,, \end{split}$$

$$^{ijkl}\mathbb{D}_{ab}=d_{a}^{ij}d_{b}^{kl}\,,$$

$$R(f, \hat{k}, \hat{e}_a, \hat{e}_b) = \sum_{I = \{I, V, Q \pm iU\}} I(f, \hat{k})^{ijkl} \mathbb{D}_{ab} \mathbb{E}^I_{ijkl}(\hat{k})$$

$$\begin{split} I(f,\hat{k}) &= \sum_{\ell m} I_{\ell m}(f) \; Y_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) \,, \\ V(f,\hat{k}) &= \sum_{\ell m} V_{\ell m}(f) \; Y_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) \,, \\ (Q+iU)(f,\hat{k}) &= \sum_{\ell m} (Q+iU)_{\ell m}(f) \;_{+4}Y_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) \,, \\ (Q-iU)(f,\hat{k}) &= \sum_{\ell m} (Q-iU)_{\ell m}(f) \;_{-4}Y_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) \,, \\ \end{split}$$

$$\langle r(t_a)r(t_b)\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{f^2} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i f t_a}\right) (1 - e^{2\pi i f t_b}) \sum_{I = \{I, V, Q \pm iU\}} \sum_{\ell m} I_{\ell m}(f) \gamma_{\ell m}^I(x_a, x_b, \hat{e}_a, \hat{e}_b) \begin{array}{l} \text{Overlap} \\ \text{Reduction} \\ \text{Functions} \end{array}$$

$$\gamma_{\ell m}^{I,V}(x_a, x_b, \hat{e}_a, \hat{e}_b) = \sum_{L_1 M_1 L_2 M_2} i^{L_1} (-i)^{L_2} J_{L_1 L_2} Y_{L_1 M_1}(\hat{e}_a) Y_{L_2 M_2}^*(\hat{e}_b) \sum_{\ell_e m_e} \mathbb{D} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\ell_e m_e}^{I,V} \left\langle \begin{array}{ccc} L_1 & L_2 & \ell_e & \ell \\ M_1 & M_2 & 0 & m_e & 0 & m \end{array} \right\rangle$$

$$\gamma_{\ell m}^{Q\pm iU}(x_a, x_b, \hat{e}_a, \hat{e}_b) = \sum_{L_1M_1L_2M_2} i^{L_1}(-i)^{L_2} J_{L_1L_2} Y_{L_1M_1}(\hat{e}_a) Y_{L_2M_2}^*(\hat{e}_b) \sum_{\ell_e m_e} \mathbb{D} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\ell_e m_e}^{Q\pm iU} \left\langle \begin{array}{cc} L_1 & L_2 & \ell_e & \ell \\ M_1 & M_2 & \mp 4 m_e & \pm 4 m \end{array} \right\rangle$$

$$\mathbb{D} \cdot \mathbb{E} = {}^{ijkl} \mathbb{D}_{ab} \mathbb{E}_{ijkl}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_1 & L_2 & l_1 & l_2 \\ M_1 & M_2 & s_1 m_1 & s_2 m_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \int \mathrm{d}\hat{k} \; Y^*_{L_1 M_1}(\hat{k}) Y_{L_2 M_2}(\hat{k}) \; {}_{s_1}\!Y_{l_1 m_1}(\hat{k}) \; {}_{s_2}\!Y_{l_2 m_2}(\hat{k})$$

Thank you for your attention!