Quantum Gravity from CFT

NCTS annual theory meeting

14th December 2019



based on: 1706.02822,1706.08456,1711.03903,1802.06889,1912.01047 with Aprile, Drummond, Paul 1712.08570,1902.00463 with Abl, Lipstein

Quantum Gravity and QFT

Two big questions:

• What is quantum gravity? UV completion of GR?



SWAMPLAND

• What is QFT / how to calculate in QFT? Eg for any value of the coupling.

2 major developments:

1. AdS/CFT: Quantum gravity on AdS = Conformal field theory (on boundary)[Maldacena;Witten;Gubser,Klebanov,Polyakov]



2. Conformal bootstrap: old idea with new lease of life, define CFTs as functions of the spectrum + 3-pnt functions: satisfying 4- point crossing symmetry[Polyakov; Ferrara, Gatto, Grillo; Ratazzi,Rychkov,Tonni,Vichi]



• Non-perturbative definition of QFT

Main idea: Use CFT bootstrap to help understand quantum gravity via AdS/CFT [Heemsderk,Penedones,Polchinski,Sully (2009)]

Precision computations in specific theories (N=4 SYM and 6d (2,0))

AdS/CFT

Gravity understood near:



Strong <-> weak duality: therefore we expect:

Perturbative Computations in gravity -> strong coupling results in CFT

AdS/CFT

Gravity loop parameter: $G_N <-> 1/N^2$ SU(N) gauge group in CFT
(central charge)Energy cut off Λ^2
(or string tension $1/\dot{\alpha}$) $\dot{\alpha} <-> 1/\lambda^{1/2}$ λ = coupling in CFT (tHooft coupling in N=4 SYM)

Gravity understood for:



Strong <-> weak duality: but instead using bootstrap:

Perturbative Computations in gravity <- strong coupling results in CFT

Overview: Bootstrap-> Quantum gravity

Focus on: and: AdS/CFT: N=4 SYM \iff IIB SUGRA (on AdS₅xS⁵)

6d (2,0) theory \longleftrightarrow M theory on AdS₇xS⁴

Use strong coupling

Gauge theory to

understand

gravity!

weak coupling

bootstrap
 -> strong coupling results in CFT (N=4 SYM; 6d (2,0) theory)
 -> many new results in quantum gravity via AdS/CFT

Eg. 1 String/ M theory effective action: R⁴ etc.

Eg. 2 One loop 4 pnt amplitude (no corresponding direct computation in gravity in AdS)

Also:

- precision data for operators:
- anomalous dimensions + 3-pnt functions

= binding energies of bound 2-graviton states + their 3-point couplings on gravity side







supressed by additional 1/N corrections than considered here.

- Consider 4-pnt function of single particle operators <Op Oq Or Os>
- = 4-graviton amplitude (4 Kaluza-Klein gravitons)

QG and string expansion directly from conformal bootstrap



4-point functions: crossing symmetry

2

2

• Invariant under permutations of x₁,x₂,x₃,x₄

eg.
$$\chi_1 \leftrightarrow \chi_3 \implies G(u,v) = u^2 \quad G\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{v}{u}\right)$$

 $\chi_1 \leftrightarrow \chi_3 \implies G(u,v) = \frac{u^2}{v^2} \quad G(v,u)$

- But OPE says (roughly) $G(u,v) = O(u^2)$ (only twist 4 operators and higher)
- Very restrictive: eg try polynomial $G(u,v) = u^a v^b = u^{2-a-b} v^b = u^{b+2} v^{a-2}$
- \implies a=4/3, b=-2/3, inconsistent with the OPE (a>=2)

OPE + crossing VERY powerful!



• Another more direct way: solve spin truncated crossing equations. Or Mellin space.







<u>M theory: 6d (2,0) theory [Abl,Lipstein,PH]</u> Only 1/N, no \sim coupling

• Structure similar though:

[superblocks: Arutyunov, Dolan, Gallot, Sokatchev; PH]

$$(2222) = (2222) \Big|_{N^{0}} + \frac{1}{N^{3}} (2222) + \frac{1}{N^{6}} (22222) + \frac{1}{N^{6}} (2222) + \frac{1}{N^{6}} (2222)$$

Find analogue of the $\underset{N^{1}}{\simeq}$ correction.

Same technique. Log u part = $O(u^2)$ but analytic part = O(u). Crossing symmetry. (Only short op in $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the single particle op: no anomalous dimension, but OPE coefficient not protected unlike N=4 SYM)

Minimal case

$$uVD_{5755}$$

Only spin 0 in the OPE (We've also done the honest computation: solving the spin truncated crossing equations)

dimension n
$$\gamma_{n,n}^{\text{spin}-0} = -\frac{(n-1)_8 n_6}{2240(2n+3)(2n+5)(2n+7)}$$

• Anomalous dimensions scale like n¹¹ for large n (twist)



• SUGRA anomalous dimensions ~ n⁵ (m-n=spin fixed)

$$\gamma_{mn}^{\text{sugra}}/2 = -\frac{3}{N^3} \left(1 + \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2(m+n+4)(m-n+3)} \right) \frac{(n-1)_6}{(m-n+1)(m-n+2)(m+n+5)(m+n+6)},$$

- Suppressed by $\left(\left(\int_{\rho_L}^{10} \right)^6 = N^2 \right)^2$ compared to tree SUGRA (1/N³)
 $= O(N^{-5})$

- 1st M theory correction
 - Again this should come from R⁴ term in effective action.
 - Higher order tree-like corrections obtained similarly.
 - Fix coefficient? W-algebra? [Beem, Rastelli, van Rees; Chester, Perlmutter]

One loop SUGRA: back to N=4 SYM

Key point: Spectrum simplifies at strong coupling

Absence of operators corresponding to string states and higher trace states: hugely simplifies the conformal bootstrap problem

- O(N⁰): Free disconnected SYM
- O(N⁻²): Tree-level Witten diagrams:

SUGRA: [d'Hoker Freedman Mathur Matusis Rastelli; Arutyunov, Frolov; Uruchurtu] Bootstrap: [Rastelli, Zhou]



Use OPE to bootstrap O(N⁻⁴) from these: loop level gravity from CFT

- Both sides depend on the parameter
- (Anomalous) dimensions of operators \widehat{O} also depend on *a*:
- Expanding the block decomposition in *a*:

$$\begin{array}{c} (1) \\ (2)$$



 $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ a=

Technical details

Problem 1. More than one scalar. Solution: auxiliary variable Y

<u>Problem 2.</u> Blocks F_{∂} only depend on conformal rep. of \hat{O} ; many ops have same free theory rep. DEGENERACY

<u>Solution</u> (part 1). Expand in <u>superblocks.</u> [Dolan, Osborn; Bissi, Lukowski; Doobary, PH] Separate contributions from each supermultiplet (super primary)

<u>But:</u> Still degeneracy supermultiplets with the same quantum numbers: Eg 2 twist 6 singlet multiplets for each spin: $\bigcirc_3 \Im^{\prime} \bigcirc_3 , \bigcirc_2 \square \Im^{\prime} \bigcirc_2$

Solution (part 2). Consider more general correlators and perform unmixing:

twist 6 unmixing



Solution:

2 operators for each spin l

(not pure, mix together)

• Superblock decomposition of $\langle 2222 \rangle$ gives:

 $C_{22}K_{i}^{2} + (21K_{i}^{2} = \frac{2}{5}(l+i)(l+8) \times \frac{(l+4)!}{(l+8)!}$ $C_{22}K_{i}M_{K_{i}} + (21K_{i}M_{K_{i}} = -96 \times \frac{(l+4)!}{(2(+8)!)!}$

known

Problem:

Not enough info: 2 equations, 4 unknowns...

[Rastelli,Zhou; see also Dolan, Nirschl, Osborn; Uruchurtu

We convert from Mellin space + fix normalization (via light-like limit)

(22K, (22K2 MK, MK,

Consider <2233> and <3333> correlators too: 6 equations, 6 unknowns!

 $(33K_{1})(33K_{2})$

Twist 6 data.



rational function of linear factors in spin I. No
 square root!

 $\int_{S} - \sqrt{rational function of linear factors in l}$

• Persists at higher twists T: T/2-1 operators • # Unknowns T/2-1 + (T/2-1)^2 M_k C_{PPK} = # Equations (T/2-1)(T/2)/2 * 2 $\langle PP99 \rangle_{N^{-1}}$ (2 symmetric matrices)

Anomalous dimensions (binding energy) of all 2-particle operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{pq} = \mathcal{O}_p \partial^l \Box^{\frac{1}{2}(\tau - p - q)} \mathcal{O}_q$$
, $(p \le q)$ Twist 2t+2a-b Spin I SU(4) rep [a,b,a]

$$\Delta_{pq} = \tau + l - \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{2M_t^{(4)}M_{t+l+1}^{(4)}}{\left(l+2p-2-a-\frac{1+(-)^{a+l}}{2}\right)_6}$$

$$M_t^{(4)} \equiv (t-1)(t+a)(t+a+b+1)(t+2a+b+2)$$

Residual Degeneracy: Independent of q

- Reason for simplicity, rationality, degeneracy?
 10 d conformal symmetry [Caron-Huot, Trinh]
- All single particle ops part of a single 10d massless field.
- All double trace ops, 10d higher spin currents, one for each even (10d) spin L
- Anomalous dimension: $\Delta^{(8)}/L_6$
- Above structure arises from decomposing 10d conf group SO(2,10) -> SO(2,4)xSO(6)

Back to <2222> one loop O(1/N⁴)

Recall:



By inspection n=15

Uplift to full function.

Look for a <u>crossing symmetric</u> function whose log²u coefficient is the one given.

Ansatz:

 \sum Polynomial (x, x) polylogs (weight 4 and below)

 $(x-\tilde{x})^n$

Impose:

crossing symmetry
 Finite as X → X
 Log²u part matches

solution with 1 free parameter

Ambiguity

Ambiguity
$$= \alpha \frac{1}{uv} [(1 + u\partial_u + v\partial_v)u\partial_u v\partial_v]^2 \Phi^{(1)}(u, v) \qquad (= \widehat{D}_{uuuu})$$

- R⁴ term again! One loop counter term $\frac{1}{N^4} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and ambiguity $\frac{1}{N^4} \approx^{\circ}$
- Appears in 3 places! (tree level string correction too $\frac{1}{h^2} \propto^3$)
- Coefficient (all three) fixed by localization [Chester]

Full 1-loop quantum gravity 4-pnt amplitude

- Recently developed an algorithm to obtain any SUGRA 4 point function at one loop
- MANY new subtleties:
- First subtlety: Degeneracy means lack of analytic control of unmixing problem needed for double logarithm. Not really needed. In fact:
 - $\circ~$ Can use 10d symmetry [Caron-Huot,Trinh].

Double disc of any correlator directly given by (with k=2):

$$\mathcal{H}_{pqrs}^{(k)}(\mathcal{H}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\chi}}, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) \Big|_{\log^{k} u} = \left[\Delta^{(8)} \right]^{k-1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{pqrs} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{(3)} \cdot h^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\chi}).$$

- Second subtlety: Completion of double disc to full function has many remaining free coefficients
 - Solution: There is further information from lower loops, single log and non log pieces "below threshold" (ie for small powers of u). (3-point functions of low twist operators are O(1/N²)
- Third subtlety: minimal ansatz based on the form of the double log, doesn't (quite) work
- Contribution from free theory $O(1/N^4)$ spoils resulting predictions
- Solution: Cancel low twist free theory contributions with a "generalised tree" contribution at O(1/N⁴)
- Final subtlety: non-renormalised semi-short operators appear at O(1/N⁴). There OPE coefficients can be determined non-trvially, but purely within free theory.
- Amazingly: the minimal one loop ansatz with generalised tree correctly reproduces these.

One loop SUGRA results so far

<2222>, <22pp>, <3333>, <3324>, <2424>

Also <3344>,<3335>,<2444>,<2435>,<2444>,<4444>,<4435>,<3535> At 1-loop! Now done!

- In all cases the only remaining ambiguities have CPW expansion truncated to spin 0 (or 1) only.
- Mellin amplitudes of ambiguities are linear in Mellin variables

<u>Some explicit results + $\Delta^{(8)}$ structure</u>

"can we pull out of our minimal one-loop functions the operators $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{pqrs}$ and $\Delta^{(8)}$?"

For <22pp> we can... almost: $\mathcal{H}_{2222}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{u^2} \Delta^{(8)} \mathcal{L}_{2222}^{(2)} + 4u^2 \overline{D}_{2422}$ (226) $\mathcal{H}_{2233}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{u^2} \Delta^{(8)} \mathcal{L}_{2233}^{(2)} - \frac{2u^2}{v^2} + 4u^2 (\overline{D}_{1423} + \overline{D}_{1432}) + 24u^2 \overline{D}_{2422} - 30u^3 \overline{D}_{3522}$ (227) $\mathcal{H}_{2244}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{u^2} \Delta^{(8)} \mathcal{L}_{2244}^{(2)} - \frac{8u^2}{v^2} + 24u^3 (\overline{D}_{2523} + \overline{D}_{2532}) + 40u^2 \overline{D}_{2422} + 48u^3 (\overline{D}_{3522} - u \overline{D}_{4622})$

$$\Delta^{(8)} = \frac{x\bar{x}y\bar{y}}{(x-\bar{x})(y-\bar{y})} \prod_{i,j=1}^{2} \left(\mathbf{C}_{x_i}^{[+\alpha,+\beta,0]} - \mathbf{C}_{y_j}^{[-\alpha,-\beta,0]} \right) \frac{(x-\bar{x})(y-\bar{y})}{x\bar{x}y\bar{y}}$$

where $\alpha = p_{21}/2$, $\beta = p_{34}/2$ and $\mathbf{C}_x^{[\alpha,\beta,\gamma]}$ is the elementary 2*d* casimir $\mathbf{C}_x^{[\alpha,\beta,\gamma]} = x^2(1-x)\partial_x^2 + x(\gamma - (1+\alpha+\beta)x)\partial_x - \alpha\beta x$.

L_{2222} at one loop

$$L_{2222} = \frac{W_4 + ..+W_6}{(X - \overline{z_c})^2}$$

$$\phi^{(\ell)} = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} \frac{(-)^r (2\ell - r)!}{l! (l-r)! r!} (\log u)^r (\mathrm{Li}_{2\ell - r}(x) - \mathrm{Li}_{2\ell - r}(\bar{x}))$$

Then, we can write the basis in the following form

$$W_{4-} = h_1 \phi^{(2)}(x'_1, x'_2) + h_2 \phi^{(2)}(x, \bar{x}) + h_3 \phi^{(2)}(1 - x, 1 - \bar{x})$$

$$W_{3-} = h_4 x \partial_x \phi^{(2)}(x, \bar{x}) + h_5 (x - 1) \partial_x \phi^{(2)}(1 - x, 1 - \bar{x}) - (x \leftrightarrow \bar{x})$$

$$W_{3+} = (x - \bar{x}) \left(h_6 \partial_v \phi^{(2)}(x, \bar{x}) + h_7 \partial_u \phi^{(2)}(1 - x, 1 - \bar{x}) \right) + h_8 \zeta_3$$

$$W_{2+} = h_9 \log(u) \log(v) + h_{10} \log^2 v + h_{11} \log^2 u$$

and

$$\begin{split} W_{2-} &= h_{\Box} \, \phi^{(1)}(x, \bar{x}) & W_0 \,= \, h_0 \\ W_{1u} \,= \, h_u \log u & W_{1v} \,= \, h_v \log v \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} h_1 &= +4u^4v^2(u-1+v)\,,\\ h_2 &= -4u^4(u+1-v)\,,\\ h_3 &= -4v(u^5-5u^4Y_+-Y_-^4Y_++5u^3(2Y_+^2-v)+uY_-^2(5Y_+^2-2v)+u^2Y_+(10Y_+^2-19v)\,,\\ h_4 &= -\frac{1}{3}u^3(u^4+Y_-^4-4u^3Y_++8uY_-^2Y_+-2u^2(3Y_-^2-2v))+\,,\\ h_5 &= +\frac{1}{2}h_4 - \frac{1}{6}Y_-(5u^6-39u^5Y_++3Y_-^4(Y_+^2+4v)+u^4(73Y_+^2-4v)\\ &\quad -uY_-^2Y_+(19Y_+^2+32v)-2u^3Y_+(37Y_+^2-8v)+u^2(51Y_+^4-56vY_+^2-88v^2))\,,\\ h_6 &= -\frac{1}{3}u^3Y_-(u^2+Y_-^2+10uY_+)\,,\\ h_7 &= -\frac{5}{12}h_8 + \frac{1}{6}(4u^5Y_-^2+3Y_-^4(Y_+^2+4v)+u^4(19+48v+99v^2)-4uY_-^2Y_+(4Y_+^2+11v)+\\ &\quad -4u^3(9+39v+45v^2+7v^3)+2u^2(17Y_+^2+11vY_+-64v^2))\,,\\ h_8 &= -\frac{2}{5}u^2(u^4+Y_-^4-2u(2+7v)(u^2+Y_-^2)+u^2(6+24v-94v^2))\,,\\ h_9 &= -\frac{1}{3}(14u^5-2u^6-3Y_-^5Y_+-14u^4(2+v-3v^2)+2uY_-^3(8Y_+^2-5v)+\\ &\quad +u^3Y_-(38Y_-+135v)-7u^2(5-v+v^3-5v^4))\,,\\ h_{10} &= -\frac{1}{3}v(7u^5-3Y_-^4(3+v)-u^4(37+35v)+uY_-^2(43+49v+16v^2)+\\ &\quad +u^3(78+99v+38v^2)-u^2(82+60v+75v^2+35v^3))\,,\\ h_{11} &= -\frac{1}{3}u^4(2u^2-7Y_-^2-7uY_+)\,, \end{split}$$

for weight four, three, and two symmetric, and

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{h}_{\Box} &= +\frac{1}{180} (527u^7 - 2939u^6Y_+ + 234Y_-^6Y_+ - 3uY_-^4(379Y_+^2 - 4v) + u^5(5295Y_+^2 - 2320v) \\ &\quad + 9u^2Y_-^2Y_+(193Y_+^2 - 64v) - 4u^4Y_+(974Y_+^2 - 2087v) + 2u^3(67Y_+^4 - 1322vY_+^2 + 400v^2) \\ \widetilde{h}_u &= -\frac{1}{5}u(29u^5 - 114u^4Y_+ - 10Y_-^4Y_+ + 2uY_-^2(31Y_+^2 - 34v) \\ &\quad + u^3(193Y_+^2 - 174v) - 10u^2Y_+(16Y_+^2 - 43v)) \\ \widetilde{h}_v &= -\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{h}_u - \frac{1}{90}Y_-(317u^5 - 1115u^4Y_+ - 90Y_-^4Y_+ + uY_-^2(569Y_+^2 - 656v) \\ &\quad + 2u^3(879Y_+^2 - 917v) - u^2(1439 + 451v + 451v^2 + 1439v^3)) \\ \widetilde{h}_0 &= \frac{1}{45}u(47u^3 - 79uY_+ - 15Y_-^2Y_+ + u(77 - 4v + 77v^2)) \end{split}$$

 $(Y_{\pm} \equiv 1 \pm v):$

Now for the leading log part we have [Caron-Huot, Trinh]

$$\mathcal{L}_{22pp}^{(2)}\Big|_{\log^2 u} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{22pp} \mathcal{F}_{2222}^{(2)}$$
$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{2233} = \frac{3}{4\times 2} (4 - u\partial_u)$$

For the full pre-amplitude we find a simple correction to this, eg:

$$\mathcal{L}_{2233}^{(2)} - \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{2233} \mathcal{L}_{2222}^{(2)} = \left[\frac{1}{2}R_3 + R_5 (x-1)\partial_x\right] \phi^{(2)}(1-x, 1-\bar{x}) - (x \leftrightarrow \bar{x}) + (x-\bar{x})R_7 \partial_u \phi^{(2)}(1-x, 1-\bar{x}) - 32\zeta_3 + R_9 \log(u)\log(v) + R_{10}\log^2 v \,,$$

$$R_{3} = -\frac{2}{(x-\bar{x})^{3}}(u^{3} + u(7Y_{+}^{2} + 2v) - 5u^{2}Y_{+} - 3Y_{+}^{3})$$

$$R_{5} = +\frac{1}{(x-\bar{x})^{3}}Y_{-}(7u^{2} - 15uY_{+} + 8(Y_{+}^{2} - v))$$

$$R_{7} = +\frac{1}{(x-\bar{x})^{2}}(5u^{2} - 13uY_{+} + 8(Y_{+}^{2} - v))$$

$$R_{9} = +\frac{1}{(x-\bar{x})^{2}}Y_{-}(6Y_{-} - 5u)$$

$$R_{10} = +\frac{1}{2(x-\bar{x})^{2}}(5u(5 + 3v) + 60v - 13u^{2} - 12)$$

Hints of deeper structure, (10d conformal symmetry) but no clear conclusion

Extracting-loop data: from <2222>

- One loop correlator contains info about one loop anomalous dimensions
- Problem: operator mixing with other double trace ops
- Also with triple trace operators

$$\mathcal{O}_2 \cdot \mathcal{J}' \mathcal{O}_2$$

- Twist 4 avoids both problems!
- Extract the one loop O(1/N⁴)

$$\eta_l^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1344(l-7)(l+14)}{(l-1)(l+1)^2(l+6)^2(l+8)} - \frac{2304(2l+7)}{(l+1)^3(l+6)^3}\\ \frac{9}{14}\alpha + \frac{1148}{3} \end{cases}$$

$$l = 2, 4, \dots$$
$$l = 0$$

[l=2,4 found independently by Alday, Bissi]

Conclusions + Future work.

- We gave an algorithm for entire 4-point 1 loop (all KK modes) gravity amplitude [See also [Alday, Zhou] for Mellin amplitude]
- Higher points [Gonçalves, Pereira, Zhou]
- New basis for free N=4 SYM single particle half BPS ops

$$: \mathcal{O}_{4} = \operatorname{Tr}\left(q^{4}\right) - \frac{2N^{2}-3}{N(N^{2}+1)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}$$

- Explore string corrections, string + loop corrections [Drummond, Nandan, Paul; Alday, Bissi, Perlmutter]
- M theory: 6d (2,0) <2222> tree-level D^kR⁴ corrections. Coefficients? No flat space limit-> string theory, but chiral algebra? [Abl,Lipstein,PH;Chester,Perlmutter; Binder,Chester,Pufu,Wang]
- M theory Loops? Superblocks beyond <2222> v tricky! (but not impossible beautiful relation to Calogero-Moser and symmetric polynomials Jacobi BCn). [Isachenkov, Schomerus]

• 2-loops or higher? Basis? $Log^{3}u: = \frac{2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{220} \right)^{3}$

(Caron-Huot, Trinh give summed up formula)

Multi-particle SUGRA states. can have · Only other states remaining = bound states of these when 2 =0 derivatives de D 2-partide states -> Double trace $Tr(q^n) Tr(q^n) + \frac{1}{N}$ 3 - particle states -> Triple trace Tr(q) Tr(q) Tr(q)++ etc. can be different scalars More procise: Operators dual to single-particle states are definition of single defined as those orthogonal to all mult-particle ops. trace ops eq. $Tr(a^{\circ})$ is a single particle op. \Rightarrow $Tr(a^{\circ})$ $Tr(a^{\circ})$ is 2-particle op. $=) O_4 = Tr(q^4) - \frac{2N^2 - 3}{N(N^2 + 1)} Tr(q^2)^2 is wt.4 sinde particle q.$ $KO_4 Tr(q^2)^2 = 0 q. Single trace:$ $KO_4 Tr(q^2)^2 = 0 q. Single trace:$ Tom Bionn

More on single particle ops

The single particle operators we have defined are proportional to the dual of the single trace operators, $\xi(\tau, Z)$, in Tom Brown's notation, where $\tau = (1..n)$ is a full *n* cycle. The dual operators are given in terms of the trace basis as (see (15) of Brown)

$$\xi(\tau, Z) = \sum_{J} \langle \xi(\sigma_J, Z^{\dagger}) \xi(\tau, Z) \rangle \operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_J Z)$$
(1)

(where the sum is over the different conjugacy classes J of S_n) whereas we define the single particle operators to have unit coefficient in front of the single trace operator. Therefore our single particle operators are normalised as:

$$\mathcal{O}_n = \frac{\xi(\tau, Z)}{\langle \xi(\tau, Z^{\dagger})\xi(\tau, Z) \rangle} \qquad (2)$$

Thus the two point function of our single trace ops is:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_n^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_n \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle \xi(\tau, Z^{\dagger}) \xi(\tau, Z) \rangle}$$
 (3)

Now ((14) of Brown)

$$\langle \xi(\tau, Z^{\dagger})\xi(\tau, Z)\rangle = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_R \frac{1}{f_R} \chi_R(\tau)^2 \tag{4}$$

Observation: the character of the n cycle has a particularly simple form

$$\chi_R((1..n)) = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & R = \text{ hook YT} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(5)

More on single particle ops

. Thus (4) reduces simply to

$$\langle \xi(\tau, Z^{\dagger})\xi(\tau, Z) \rangle = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{R=\text{ hook}} \frac{1}{f_R} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(N)_{n-k}(N-k)_{k-1}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2 N} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(N^2 - k^2)} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (N+n-k)_k (N-n+1)_{n-k-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2 N} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(N^2 - k^2)} \right) \frac{(N)_n - N(N-n+1)_{n-1}}{n-1} .$$
(6)

Then from (3) the two point function of our single particle ops is just the inverse of this:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_n^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_n \rangle = n^2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(N^2 - k^2 \right) \right) \frac{n-1}{(N+1)_{n-1} - (N-n+1)_{n-1}}$$
 (7)

In fact one can simplify this a bit further by noting that $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (N^2 - k^2) = (N+1)_{n-1}(N-n+1)_{n-1}$ to

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_n^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_n \rangle = n^2 (n-1) \frac{1}{1/(N-n+1)_{n-1} - 1/(N+1)_{n-1}}$$
(9)

$$= n^{2}(n-1)\frac{1}{\frac{1}{(N-1)^{\underline{n-1}}} - \frac{1}{(N+1)^{\overline{n-1}}}} .$$
(10)

where we switch to the notation $x^{\overline{n}}$ for the Pochhammer (rising factorial) and $x^{\underline{n}}$ for the falling factorial in order to manifest the $N \to -N$ symmetry.







$$\frac{\text{Supercorrelators}}{\left\{22222\right\}} = g_{12}^{2} g_{34}^{2} + g_{13}^{2} g_{24}^{2} + g_{14}^{2} g_{23}^{2}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} + 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} - 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} - 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} - 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} - 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} - 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} - 1 \end{array}\right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right) \\$$

Long superblocks

Simple

= $(x-y)(x-\overline{y})(\overline{x}-\overline{y})(\overline{x}-\overline{y}) \times bosonic block(x,\overline{x}) \times internal block(y,\overline{y})$ =1 for (2222)

•Superblock expansion of the dynamical part of the correlator is (relatively) straightforward, only contains long ops.

•Expansion of free part is more complicated. (short ops - more complicated superblocks.)

• Explicit superblocks known [Dolan, Osborn; Bissi, Lukowski; Doobary, PH]

•Alternatively lift across to a "bosonic superblock". Simple determinantal blocks in p bosonic variables SU(2,2|4)-> SU(p,p) (Doobary, PH; Aprile, Drummond, Hynek, PH.)

Free theory CPW coeffs via "bosonised superblocks".
$$Su[2,2|4] \rightarrow Su[m,m|2n]$$

theory: $\Pi a^{d_{ij}} = \mathcal{P}^{(OPE)} \times \left(\frac{g_{13}g_{24}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-p_4+p_3)} \times \left(\frac{g_{14}g_{23}}{2}\right)^{d_{23}} \qquad Su[m,m]$

• Free theory:
$$\prod_{i < j} g_{ij}^{d_{ij}} = \mathcal{P}^{(\text{OPE})}_{\{p_i\}} \times \left(\frac{g_{13}g_{24}}{g_{12}g_{34}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma - p_4 + p_3)} \times \left(\frac{g_{14}g_{23}}{g_{13}g_{24}}\right)^{d_{23}}$$

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{Superblocks.}_{OpOJ Su(4), osborn; bissi, Lukonski; Doobary PHJ}_{OpOJ Su(4), ep} [Y.Y=0] & internal co-ordinate}_{Op(X):= Tr((P_1(2), Y_1)^P)+...} X=(X, Y) deal with all 6 scalars.}_{(in fact all ops in multiplet for the superblock decomposition is: gree-analytic superspace)} \end{split}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^{p_1}(X_1) \mathcal{O}^{p_2}(X_2) \mathcal{O}^{p_3}(X_3) \mathcal{O}^{p_4}(X_4) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma,\underline{\lambda}} A^{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4}_{\gamma \underline{\lambda}} g^{\underline{p_1 + p_2}}_{12} g^{\underline{p_3 + p_4}}_{34} \left(\frac{g_{24}}{g_{14}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} p_{21}} \left(\frac{g_{14}}{g_{13}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} p_{43}} \left(\frac{g_{13} g_{24}}{g_{12} g_{34}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \gamma} F^{\alpha \beta \gamma \underline{\lambda}}(Z),$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - p_{12}) \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + p_{34}) ,$$

where
$$g_{ij} = \frac{\gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j}{\gamma_{ij}}$$
 superpropagator
Multiplets $A_{\gamma\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum$

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n$$

$$\begin{split} \underline{\lambda} &= \mathbf{0} \text{ (half BPS)}: \\ f(x,y) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{p} F_{1-i}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x) G_{i}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(y) \\ f(x_{1},x_{2},y_{1},y_{2}) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \left(F_{1-i}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x_{2}) F_{1-j}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x_{1}) - F_{1-i}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x_{1}) F_{1-j}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x_{2}) \right) \left(G_{i}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(y_{1}) G_{j}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(y_{2}) - G_{i}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(y_{2}) G_{j}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(y_{1}) \right) \end{split}$$

where we have defined the functions

$$\begin{split} F_{\lambda}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x) &:= [x^{\lambda-1}{}_{2}F_{1}(\lambda + \alpha, \lambda + \beta; 2\lambda + \gamma; x)] \\ G_{\lambda'}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(y) &:= y^{\lambda'-1}{}_{2}F_{1}(\lambda' - \alpha, \lambda' - \beta; 2\lambda' - \gamma; y) \end{split}$$

Degeneracy of multiplets - Unmixing. • Taking the (22222) N°, The result and decomposing into superblocks gives the combinations: $N: \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sum (220)^{c} \\ N^{2} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sum (220)^{c} \\ N^{2} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sum (220)^{c} \\ N^{2} \end{array} \right\}$ Sum over all (long) multiplets with the same quantum numbers (superconf. Rp.) - Only 54(4) singlets appar in <2222) - triple and higher trace ops to suppressed. > Double trace singlet operators

Double trace singlet long multiplets: • $O_2(\partial_m)O_2$ Ewist (= $\Delta - C$)=14, spin C. Only one operator for each (even) spin with
No degeneracy at twist 4.
Superblock decomposition gives: $C_{220} = \frac{4}{3} \left((+)(l+6) \times \frac{(l+3)!}{(2l+6)!} \right) \left(\frac{2}{20} - 64 \times \frac{(l+3)!}{(2l+6)!} \right)$ $= \mathcal{N} = -\frac{48}{(L+1)(L+6)} \qquad [Oolan, Osborn]$

• Very useful feature:
$$\widehat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 2222 \rangle & \langle 2233 \rangle \end{pmatrix}^{+0}$$
 is diagond
Why? $\langle ppqq \rangle |_{N^0} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1^{\ell} & q_{34}^{\ell} & p \neq q \end{pmatrix} \qquad p \neq q \end{pmatrix} p \text{ contributo long}$
 $\langle g_{12}^{\ell} & g_{34}^{\ell} & p \neq q \end{pmatrix} p \text{ contributo long}$
 $\langle g_{12}^{\ell} & g_{34}^{\ell} & + g_{13}^{\ell} & g_{14}^{\ell} & g_{13}^{\ell} & p \neq q \end{pmatrix}$
 $\langle ontribute to \frac{1}{2} BPS ops O_{P-2I} only n OPE.$
• Define $\widetilde{c}(t|t)$. $\widetilde{c} \, \widetilde{c}^T = \mathrm{Id}_{t-1}, \qquad C = \widehat{A}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \widetilde{c}(t|t)$
 $\widetilde{c} \text{ orthonormal}$

reg twist 4:
$$\widetilde{c} = 1$$

 $\widetilde{c}(3|l) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{l+2}{2l+9}} & \sqrt{\frac{l+7}{2l+9}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{l+7}{2l+9}} & \sqrt{\frac{l+2}{2l+9}} \end{pmatrix}$
 \widetilde{c} orthonormal

Higher Ewist examples.

$$\tilde{c}(4|l) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{7(l+2)(l+3)}{6(2l+9)(2l+11)}} & \sqrt{\frac{5(l+3)(l+8)}{3(2l+9)(2l+13)}} & \sqrt{\frac{7(l+8)(l+9)}{6(2l+11)(2l+13)}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2(l+2)(l+8)}{(2l+9)(2l+11)}} & -\sqrt{\frac{35}{(2l+9)(2l+13)}} & \sqrt{\frac{2(l+3)(l+9)}{(2l+11)(2l+13)}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{5(l+8)(l+9)}{6(2l+9)(2l+11)}} & -\sqrt{\frac{7(l+2)(l+9)}{3(2l+9)(2l+13)}} & \sqrt{\frac{5(l+2)(l+3)}{6(2l+11)(2l+13)}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{split} \eta_{4,l,i} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\frac{720(l+7)}{(l+1)(l+2)(l+3)}, -\frac{720}{(l+3)(l+8)}, -\frac{720(l+4)}{(l+8)(l+9)(l+10)} \end{array} \right\}.\\ \hline \mathsf{TwiSt 10}-\\ \tilde{c}(5|l) &= \left(\begin{array}{l} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(2)(3)(4)}{[9][11][13]} & \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{(3)(4)(9)}{[9][13][15]} & \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{(4)(9)(10)}{[11][13][17]} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(9)(10)(11)}{[13][15][17]} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{27}{8}} \frac{(2)(3)(9)}{[9][11][13]} & -\sqrt{\frac{5}{8}} \frac{(l+18)(3)}{[9][13][15]} & \sqrt{\frac{5}{8}} \frac{(l-5)(10)}{[11][13][17]} & \sqrt{\frac{27}{8}} \frac{(4)(10)(11)}{[13][17]} \\ \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{(2)(9)(10)}{[9][11][13]} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(l+3)(10)}{[9][13][15]} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(l+16)(3)}{[11][13][17]} & \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{(3)(4)(11)}{[13][15][17]} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{5}{8}} \frac{(9)(10)(11)}{[9][11][13]} & \sqrt{\frac{27}{8}} \frac{(2)(10)(11)}{[9][13][15]} & -\sqrt{\frac{27}{8}} \frac{(2)(3)(11)}{[11][13][17]} & \sqrt{\frac{5}{8}} \frac{(2)(3)(4)}{[13][15][17]} \end{array} \right) \\ (n) &= \sqrt{l+n} \,, \qquad [n] = \sqrt{2l+n} \end{split}$$

}

$$\eta_{5,l,i} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1680(l+7)(l+8)}{(l+1)(l+2)(l+3)(l+4)}, -\frac{1680}{(l+3)(l+4)}, -\frac{1680}{(l+9)(l+10)}, -\frac{1680(l+5)(l+6)}{(l+9)(l+10)(l+11)(l+12)} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{ighor} twist_{i} \bigtriangleup - l = 2t \\ & (double twice long) \\ \bullet Basis of singlet \land operators of twist 2t, spinl: \\ & K_{t,l,i}^{\text{free}} = \mathcal{O}_{i+1} \square^{t-i-1} \partial^{l} \mathcal{O}_{i+1} + \dots \qquad i = 1 \cdots t - 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\bullet \text{ These mix}, \quad & K_{t,l,i} \quad pure operators at strong coupling \\ \bullet \text{ Matrix of 3-point Sunctions} \\ & C(t|l) = \begin{pmatrix} C_{22K_{t,l,1}} & C_{22K_{t,l,2}} & \cdots & C_{22K_{t,l,t-1}} \\ C_{33K_{t,l,1}} & C_{33K_{t,l,2}} & \cdots & C_{22K_{t,l,t-1}} \\ & C_{trik_{t,l,1}} & C_{33K_{t,l,2}} & \cdots & C_{22K_{t,l,t-1}} \\ & C_{trik_{t,l,1}} & C_{33K_{t,l,2}} & \cdots & C_{22K_{t,l,t-1}} \\ & C & C^{T} = \widehat{A} & \widehat{A} \\ & \widehat{A} \\ & \widehat{A} \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ expendent of the gives the eq^{n_{t-1}} \\ & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & \cdots & (C_{t+1}) \\ & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) \\ & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) \\ & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) \\ & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) \\ & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) \\ & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12}) & (C_{t+12})$$

General Formulae

 By computing many higher twist results, find pattern and deduce general formulae: t=T/2

• Anomalous dimensions of all double trace singlets:

$$\eta_{t,l,i}^{[0,0,0]} = -\frac{2(t-1)_4(t+l)_4}{(l+2i-1)_6}$$

• OPE coeffs have following structure: remarkably remaining coefficients fixed uniquely (up to signs) by demanding orthonormality of this matrix

$$\begin{split} \tilde{c}_{pi}^{[0,0,0]} = & \sqrt{\frac{2^{1-t}(2l+4i+3)\left((l+i+1)_{t-i-p+1}\right)^{\sigma_1}\left((t+l+p+2)_{i-p+1}\right)^{\sigma_2}}{\left(l+i+\frac{5}{2}\right)_{t-1}}} \\ \times & \sum_{k=0}^{\min(i-1,p-2,t-i-1,t-p)} l^k a_{(p,i,k)}^{[0,0,0]}, \\ \sigma_1 = \operatorname{sgn}(t-p-i+1), \qquad \sigma_2 = \operatorname{sgn}(i-p+1) \end{split}$$

Even more general formulae

- One can go even further and consider completely general double trace operators (general reps of SU(4)).
- Need to consider all single particle 4 point functions <pqrs>

Fixing the remaining OPE coeffs without calculating. · Remarkably the remaining coefficients are always uniquely Sixed by orthonormality of C • Orthonormality \Rightarrow linear equations in $a_{p,i,k}^{50005}$ (or a^2) · Unique lup to signs) solution always exists! · Allows quick solution. Complete data up to twist 48 (without needing tree sugra result!) • Analytic formula for $a_{p,i,h}^{cooo3}$ ($a_{(2,i,0)}^{[0,0,0]} = \frac{2^{t-1}(2i+2)!(t-2)!(2t-2i+2)!}{3(i-1)!(i+1)!(t+2)!(t-i-1)!(t-i+1)!}$ < O2O2 Kt, L, i7 K We originally nanted! What are these orthonormal matrices? I deas welcome;

• Superblock decomposition of
$$\sqrt{r}$$
 correlator then gives the eq.

$$C \cap C^{T} = \widehat{M}, \qquad \widehat{M} \text{ block coeffs de } \begin{pmatrix} (2121) & (2139) & \cdots & (2160) \\ (3321) & (3335) & \cdots & (3344) \\ (4410) & (4413) & \cdots & (4413) & \cdots & (444) \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{M_{1}} M_{1}, \qquad M_{2}, \qquad M_{2}, \qquad M_{3}, \qquad M_{4}, \qquad M_{$$

Full result:

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle 2222\rangle = \langle 2222\rangle_{\mathrm{free}} + g_{13}^2 g_{24}^2 s(x,\bar{x};y,\bar{y}) F(u,v) \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} F(u,v) &= aF^{(1)}(u,v) + a^2F^{(2)}(u,v) + O(a^3) \\ F^{(1)}(u,v) &= -4\partial_u\partial_v(1+u\partial_u+v\partial_v)\Phi^{(1)}(u,v) \\ F^{(2)}(u,v) &= \frac{1}{uv}\Big[f(u,v) + \frac{1}{u}f\bigg(\frac{1}{u},\frac{v}{u}\bigg) + \frac{1}{v}f\bigg(\frac{1}{v},\frac{u}{v}\bigg)\Big] + \mathcal{C}$$

$$\Phi^{(l)}(u,v) = -\frac{1}{x-\bar{x}}\phi^{(l)}\left(\frac{x}{x-1},\frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x}-1}\right), \quad \begin{pmatrix} l-loop\\ loop\\ loop$$

$$\phi^{(l)}(x,\bar{x}) = \sum_{r=0}^{l} (-1)^{r} \frac{(2l-r)!}{r!(l-r)!l!} \log^{r}(x\bar{x}) (\operatorname{Li}_{2l-r}(x) - \operatorname{Li}_{2l-r}(\bar{x}))$$
$$\Psi(u,v) = (x-\bar{x})(u\partial_{u} + v\partial_{v})[(x-\bar{x})\Phi^{(2)}(u,v)]$$
$$= [x(1-x)\partial_{x} - \bar{x}(1-\bar{x})\partial_{\bar{x}}]\phi^{(2)}\left(\frac{x}{x-1}, \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x}-1}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} P_{-}^{(4)}(u,v) &= 96p^2 \bar{s}[\bar{s}^4 + 20p\bar{s}^2 + 30p^2], \\ P_{+}^{(3)}(u,v) &= \frac{8}{5}p^2[137\bar{s}^4 + 1214p\bar{s}^2 + 512p^2], \\ P_{-}^{(3)}(u,v) &= 336p^2[\bar{s}(1-\bar{s})(6-6\bar{s}+\bar{s}^2) + 2p(3-14\bar{s}+4\bar{s}^2) - 16p^2], \\ P_{-}^{(3)}(u,v) &= 336p^2[\bar{s}(1-\bar{s})(6-6\bar{s}+\bar{s}^2) + 2p(3-14\bar{s}+4\bar{s}^2) - 16p^2], \\ P_{+}^{(2)}(u,v) &= 2[(1-\bar{s})^2\bar{s}^6 - 2p\bar{s}^4(20-33\bar{s}+14\bar{s}^2) \\ &\quad + 8p^2(756-1323\bar{s}+601\bar{s}^2 - 54\bar{s}^3 + 30\bar{s}^4) \\ &\quad - 32p^3(583-25\bar{s}+26\bar{s}^2) + 1024p^4], \end{split} \\ P_{+}^{(0)}(u,v) &= \frac{2}{15}(x-\bar{x})^2[20(1-\bar{s})\bar{s}^6 - 5p\bar{s}^4(102-75\bar{s}-4\bar{s}^2) \\ &\quad + 8p^2(630-630\bar{s}+481\bar{s}^2-255\bar{s}^3-30\bar{s}^4) \\ &\quad - 16p^3(217-215\bar{s}-60\bar{s}^2) - 1280p^4]. \end{split}$$

 $\bar{s} = 1 - u - v \,, \qquad p = uv$

Semi-short sector

- Key point 1: In $O_{p1} O_{p2} OPE$: No semishorts with twist > p_1+p_2
- This means twist p_1+p_2 semishort can not combine with higher twist operator.



• Key point 2: there are μ -1 (double trace) semi-short operators:

•
$$\mathcal{O}_{pq} = \mathcal{O}_p \partial^l \mathcal{O}_q$$
 $\tau = p + q = 2a + b + 2$
• $p = a + 1 + r + \delta_{a,0},$ $q = a + 1 + b - r - \delta_{a,0}$ $r = 0, \dots, \mu - 1$

Semi-short sector

Consider the matrix of semishort coeffs:

$$M_{rs} = S_{\tau,[l+2,1^a]}^{\{p_1(r)p_2(r)p_3(s)p_4(s)\}} \quad r = 0, .., \mu, \ s = 0, .., \mu$$

Where

$$p_1(r) = p_3(r) = a + 1 + r + \delta_{a,0} \qquad p_2(r) = p_4(r) = a + 1 + b - r - \delta_{a,0} \qquad r < \mu$$
$$p_i(\mu) = p_i .$$

Claim M has zero determinant. Only has rank μ-1

 $M = C_{12}C_{34}^T$ where C_{12}, C_{34} are both $(\mu + 1) \times (\mu)$ matrices of OPE coefficients

 Therefore this gives a non trivial eqn for the semishort coeff of <p1 p2 p3 p4 > correlator in terms of those with obtained in previous step

Remarkably the bootstrapping of the one loop correlator (with minimal ansatz) is consistent with this semishort prediction!

• new feature: below threshold tree-level data prediction.



For $\tau < \min(p1+p2,p3+p4) C_{p1 p2 O\tau} \sim C_{p3 p4 O\tau} \sim 1/N^2 \rightarrow \text{prediction at O}(1/N^4)$

Predictions for single disc in the "window": $(\mathcal{PI})\mathcal{H}_{\vec{p}}^{(2)} \supset \log^{1} u \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{\vec{p}}} C_{p_{1}p_{2}K_{pq}}^{(1)}\eta_{K_{pq}}C_{p_{3}p_{4}K_{pq}}^{(0)} \mathbb{L}_{K_{pq}}$ $(pq) \in \mathcal{R}_{\tau,l,a,b}$ $O(N^0)$ $O(N^0)$ $\tau = p_1 + p_2$ $C_{p_1p_2\tau} =$ Window $\tau = \gamma_{\max}$ $\tau = p_3 + p_4$ $O(1/N^2)$ Below $O(1/N^2)$ Threshold \blacklozenge $\tau = 2a + b + 4$



Derivation of tree amplitudes

- Problem: Minimal ansatz based on ddisc --> consistent with below threshold predictions, only if free theory is not present at O(1/N^4)
- Solution 1: Widen the ansatz (higher powers of 1/v). -> [Very (too) big ansatz]
- Solution 2: Use "generalised tree" to cancel free theory below threshold.
- Rastelli Zhou tree amplitudes unique solution to the following problem:

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)} = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\Box}(x,\bar{x},y,\bar{y})}{(x-\bar{x})^{\mathbf{d}_{1}-1}} \phi^{(1)}(x,\bar{x}) + \mathbf{d}_{1} = p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3} + p_{4}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}_{u}(x,\bar{x},y,\bar{y})}{(x-\bar{x})^{\mathbf{d}_{1}-2}} \frac{\log(u)}{v^{\mathbf{d}_{2}}} + \frac{\mathbf{P}_{v}(x,\bar{x},y,\bar{y})}{(x-\bar{x})^{\mathbf{d}_{1}-2}} \log(v) + \mathbf{d}_{2} = p_{3} - 1 + \min(0, \frac{p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{3}-p_{4}}{2})$$

$$+ \frac{\mathbf{P}_{1}(x,\bar{x},y,\bar{y})}{(x-\bar{x})^{\mathbf{d}_{1}-4}} \frac{1}{v^{\mathbf{d}_{2}}}$$

Obeying:

- 1. Consistent under crossing (sets the degree of the polynomials)
- 2. Non singular as $x \rightarrow \overline{x}$
- Cancellation of below threshold operators from free theory at O(1/N²) [Alternative way to derive all tree-level correlators]

Generalised tree amplitudes

To obtain "generalised tree" amplitudes, increase the ansatz by two powers of x- \hat{x}

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)} = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\Box}(x,\bar{x},y,\bar{y})}{(x-\bar{x})^{\mathbf{d}_{1}+1}} \phi^{(1)}(x,\bar{x}) + \mathbf{d}_{1} = p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3} + p_{4}$$
$$\frac{\mathbf{P}_{u}(x,\bar{x},y,\bar{y})}{(x-\bar{x})^{\mathbf{d}_{1}}} \frac{\log(u)}{v^{\mathbf{d}_{2}}} + \frac{\mathbf{P}_{v}(x,\bar{x},y,\bar{y})}{(x-\bar{x})^{\mathbf{d}_{1}}} \log(v) + \mathbf{d}_{2} = p_{3} - 1 + \min(0, \frac{p_{1} + p_{2} - p_{3} - p_{4}}{2}) + \frac{\mathbf{P}_{1}(x,\bar{x},y,\bar{y})}{(x-\bar{x})^{\mathbf{d}_{1}-2}} \frac{1}{v^{\mathbf{d}_{2}}}$$

Obeying:

- 1. Crossing
- 2. Non singular as $x \rightarrow \overline{x}$
- 3. Cancellation of below threshold operators from entire all N free theory

New tree-like functions linked to any free theory (can leave arbitrary coeffs in front of free theory propagator structures)

- Note below threshold ops are not absent at O(1/N⁴)!?
- But quite remarkably, if we add the generalised tree at O(1/N⁴) (thus cancelling the below threshold operators from free theory)
- then:

Function obtained from "minimal ansatz consistent with ddisc" is consistent with O(1/N⁴) below threshold corrections

Why? Meaning of generalised tree?

Semi-short sector

Final subtlety:

- Operators $O_{\tau,l,[aba]}$ with $\tau=2a+b+2$ are in semi-short multiplets
- Ambiguity due to potential recombination: at superblock level:

•
$$\mathbb{L}_{\tau,l,[a,b,a]}^{\{p_i\}} = \mathbb{S}_{\tau,[l+2,1^a]}^{\{p_i\}} + \mathbb{S}_{\tau+2,[l+1,1^{a+1}]}^{\{p_i\}} \quad \tau = 2a + b + 2$$
Long superblock
$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

- If recombination takes place at weak coupling, at strong coupling long operator disappears from spectrum (string state)
- BUT there can be (and are) left over semishort operators
- One can in fact reconcile the ambiguity purely from the free theory
- Intricate recursive (in twist) algorithm involving many free correlators Bootstrapping the one loop correlator (with minimal ansatz) consistent with semishort prediction!

Properties of the data.

All data satisfies a non-trivial symmetry as analytic
functions of L. Trajectories in L. [swap even 1 odd spin trajectories]

$$L \rightarrow -L - T(Lja) - 3$$

Full (anomalous) twist
All data satisfies a non-trivial symmetry as analytic
[swap even 1 odd spin trajectories]
and order of degenerate ops i =1-i]
Equivalent to recipionity
[Basso, Korchemsky; Alday, Bissi]

Eg 2 twist 6 singlets swap under the symmetry

$$\eta_1^{(2)}(-\ell-9)|_{[0,0,0]} = \eta_2^{(2)}(\ell)|_{[0,0,0]} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\ell} \left(\eta_2^{(1)}(\ell)|_{[0,0,0]}\right)^2,$$

$$\eta_2^{(2)}(-\ell-9)|_{[0,0,0]} = \eta_1^{(2)}(\ell)|_{[0,0,0]} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\ell} \left(\eta_1^{(1)}(\ell)|_{[0,0,0]}\right)^2.$$