

The Probe of Curvature in the Lorentzian $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ Correspondence

Chen-Te Ma (SCNU and UCT)

Xing Huang (Northwest University)
Phys.Lett. B798 (2019) 134936

December 13, 2019

OPE Block

The **OPE block** $B_l^{jk}(x_1, x_2)$ follows from the operator product expansion (OPE) of the operators $\mathcal{O}_j(x_1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_k(x_2)$

$$\mathcal{O}_j(x_1)\mathcal{O}_k(x_2) = \sum_l c_{jkl}(x_1 - x_2, \partial)\mathcal{O}_l(x_2), \quad (1)$$

in which c_{jkl} takes into account the contribution from the descendants of operator $\mathcal{O}_k(x_1, x_2)$. The OPE block is defined as the contribution from a particular channel of primary operator to the OPE of the operators $\mathcal{O}_j(x_1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_k(x_2)$

$$\mathcal{O}_j(x_1)\mathcal{O}_k(x_2) \equiv |x_1 - x_2|^{-\Delta_j - \Delta_k} \sum_l C_{jkl} B_l^{jk}(x_1, x_2), \quad (2)$$

where Δ_j and Δ_k are conformal dimensions of the operators, \mathcal{O}_j and \mathcal{O}_k , and C_{jkl} are the OPE coefficients.

Randon Transformation

- The **Randon transformation** (Bulk field $\phi(x) \rightarrow \hat{\phi}(\gamma)$)

$$\hat{\phi}(\gamma) \equiv \int_{x \in \gamma} d\sigma(\gamma) \phi(x), \quad (3)$$

where the integral alone a certain **geodesic** γ .

Randon Transformation

- The **Randon transformation** (Bulk field $\phi(x) \rightarrow \hat{\phi}(\gamma)$)

$$\hat{\phi}(\gamma) \equiv \int_{x \in \gamma} d\sigma(\gamma) \phi(x), \quad (3)$$

where the integral alone a certain **geodesic** γ .

- The field is then given by the OPE block associated to the primary operator O_k

$$\hat{\phi}(\gamma) \sim B_l^{jk}. \quad (4)$$

- In the $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ correspondence, we use the codimension-two surface in the time direction.

- In the $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ correspondence, we use the codimension-two surface in the time direction.
- The OPE block should correspond to a bulk local operator in this holographic set-up.

- In the AdS₂/CFT₁ correspondence, we use the codimension-two surface in the time direction.
- The OPE block should correspond to a bulk local operator in this holographic set-up.
- The Lorentzian AdS₂ metric is

$$ds_{2l}^2 = \frac{-dt^2 + dz^2}{z^2}. \quad (5)$$

The light cone of a boundary point becomes a single light ray in the bulk. Therefore, the past light ray of the boundary point τ_2 and the future light ray of the boundary point τ_1 (assuming $\tau_2 > \tau_1$) meet at the following bulk point in the Lorentzian AdS₂ metric. In general, the bulk point is determined by:

$$t = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_1 + \tau_2), \quad z = \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \tau_2|. \quad (6)$$

- In summary, the OPE block or the codimension-two surface operator from two boundary operators at τ_1 and τ_2 becomes a bulk local operator, whose position is uniquely determined by (6).

Reference of the OPE Block

- B. Czech, L. Lamprou, S. McCandlish, B. Mosk and J. Sully, “A Stereoscopic Look into the Bulk,” JHEP **1607**, 129 (2016) [arXiv:1604.03110 [hep-th]].

Modular Hamiltonian

- Since the modular Hamiltonian H_{mod} is **hermitian**, this can be **diagonalized** as $H_{\text{mod}} \equiv U^\dagger \mathcal{D} U$, where U is **unitary**, and \mathcal{D} is a **diagonal** matrix.

Modular Hamiltonian

- Since the modular Hamiltonian H_{mod} is **hermitian**, this can be **diagonalized** as $H_{\text{mod}} \equiv U^\dagger \mathcal{D} U$, where U is **unitary**, and \mathcal{D} is a **diagonal** matrix.
- The **modular Berry transport** is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial H_{\text{mod}}}{\partial \lambda} &= U^\dagger \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial \lambda} \right) U + \left[\left(\frac{\partial U^\dagger}{\partial \lambda} \right) U, H_{\text{mod}} \right], \\ P_0 \left(\frac{\partial U^\dagger}{\partial \lambda} U \right) &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where the projection P_0 is onto the **zero-modes** (Hermitian operators that **commute** with H_{mod}).

Modular Hamiltonian

- Since the modular Hamiltonian H_{mod} is **hermitian**, this can be **diagonalized** as $H_{\text{mod}} \equiv U^\dagger \mathcal{D} U$, where U is **unitary**, and \mathcal{D} is a **diagonal** matrix.
- The **modular Berry transport** is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial H_{\text{mod}}}{\partial \lambda} &= U^\dagger \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial \lambda} \right) U + \left[\left(\frac{\partial U^\dagger}{\partial \lambda} \right) U, H_{\text{mod}} \right], \\ P_0 \left(\frac{\partial U^\dagger}{\partial \lambda} U \right) &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where the projection P_0 is onto the **zero-modes** (Hermitian operators that **commute** with H_{mod}).

- The **second** equation says that the transport is **parallel** when the **tangent vector** is along the **horizontal subspace**.

Modular Hamiltonian in CFT₁

- The **modular Hamiltonian** in **CFT₁** can be expressed in terms of the **SL(2)** generators

$$H_{\text{mod}} = s_1 L_1 + s_0 L_0 + s_{-1} L_{-1}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$L_{-1} \equiv i\partial_\tau, \quad L_0 \equiv -\tau\partial_\tau, \quad L_1 \equiv -i\tau^2\partial_\tau, \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &\equiv \frac{2\pi}{\tau_2 - \tau_1}, & s_0 &\equiv \frac{-2\pi i(\tau_1 + \tau_2)}{\tau_2 - \tau_1}, \\ s_{-1} &\equiv \frac{-2\pi\tau_1\tau_2}{\tau_2 - \tau_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

- Since one modular Hamiltonian can be **mapped** to other modular Hamiltonian from the **conformal transformation**, the equation can reduce to

$$\frac{\partial H_{\text{mod}}}{\partial \lambda} = \left[\frac{\partial U^\dagger}{\partial \lambda} U, H_{\text{mod}} \right], \quad P_0 \left(\frac{\partial U^\dagger}{\partial \lambda} U \right) = 0. \quad (11)$$

- With the help of the following algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}[H_{\text{mod}}, H_{\text{mod}}] &= 0, \\ [H_{\text{mod}}, \partial_{\tau_1} H_{\text{mod}}] &= -2\pi i \partial_{\tau_1} H_{\text{mod}}, \\ [H_{\text{mod}}, \partial_{\tau_2} H_{\text{mod}}] &= 2\pi i \partial_{\tau_2} H_{\text{mod}},\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

we can solve the modular Berry curvature equation, and this leads to

$$\partial_\lambda H_{\text{mod}} = [V_{\delta\lambda}, H_{\text{mod}}],\tag{13}$$

where

$$V_{\delta\lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} ((\partial_\lambda \tau_1)(\partial_{\tau_1} H_{\text{mod}} - (\partial_\lambda \tau_2)(\partial_{\tau_2} H_{\text{mod}}))).\tag{14}$$

- Therefore, we define the covariant derivative

$$D_\lambda H \equiv \partial_\lambda H - [V_{\delta\lambda}, H] \quad (15)$$

and the commutator for derivatives along directions $\lambda = \tau_1$ and $\lambda = \tau_2$ reads

$$[D_{\tau_1}, D_{\tau_2}]H = \frac{i}{\pi(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^2} [H_{\text{mod}}, H], \quad (16)$$

which leads to the Berry curvature tensor

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau_1\tau_2} \equiv \frac{i}{\pi(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^2} H_{\text{mod}}. \quad (17)$$

This also provides the following curvature

$$\mathcal{R}_{z\tau} = -\frac{i}{2\pi z_0^2} H_{\text{mod}}. \quad (18)$$

Here we define $\tau_0 \equiv (\tau_1 + \tau_2)/2$ and $z_0 \equiv (\tau_2 - \tau_1)/2$. The subscript 0 of τ_0 and z_0 means that we fix the variables.

- Now we extend the SL(2) generators from the **boundary** to the **bulk** for getting the **AdS₂ Riemann curvature tensor**:

$$\begin{aligned}L_1 &= -2i\tau z\partial_z - i(\tau^2 + z^2)\partial_\tau, \\L_0 &= -z\partial_z - \tau\partial_\tau, \\L_{-1} &= i\partial_\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

- From the following commutator relations:

$$\begin{aligned}[L_1, \partial_z] &= 2i\tau\partial_z + 2iz\partial_\tau, & [L_0, \partial_z] &= \partial_z, \\ [L_{-1}, \partial_z] &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[L_1, \partial_\tau] &= 2iz\partial_z + 2i\tau\partial_\tau, & [L_0, \partial_\tau] &= \partial_\tau, \\ [L_{-1}, \partial_\tau] &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

we can find that the **diagonal entries** of modular Hamiltonian (as a two by two matrix on the tangent vectors) **vanish** at the point (τ_0, z_0) , and the **off-diagonal** ones are **symmetric** and are **$2\pi i$** . Therefore, the Riemann curvature at the point z_0 is:

$$\mathcal{R}_{z\tau} = -\frac{i}{2\pi z_0^2} H_{\text{mod}} \Big|_{z=z_0} = \frac{1}{z_0^2} = -\mathcal{R}_{\tau z}.\tag{22}$$

- The AdS₂ Riemann curvature tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} R^\rho{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} &\equiv \partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\sigma}, \\ \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\delta} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\lambda} (\partial_\delta g_{\lambda\nu} + \partial_\nu g_{\lambda\delta} - \partial_\lambda g_{\nu\delta}) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

exactly corresponds to the curvature \mathcal{R} at the point z_0 :

$$\mathcal{R}_{z\tau} \rightarrow R^z{}_{\tau z \tau} = \frac{1}{z_0^2}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\tau z} \rightarrow R^z{}_{\tau \tau z} = -\frac{1}{z_0^2}. \quad (24)$$

Reference of the Curvature

- B. Czech, L. Lamprou, S. Mccandlish and J. Sully, “Modular Berry Connection for Entangled Subregions in AdS/CFT ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, no. 9, 091601 (2018) [arXiv:1712.07123 [hep-th]].
- B. Czech, J. De Boer, D. Ge and L. Lamprou, “A modular sewing kit for entanglement wedges,” *JHEP* **1911**, 094 (2019) [arXiv:1903.04493 [hep-th]].

- We related two-boundary points to each bulk point in the Lorentzian $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ correspondence.

- We related two-boundary points to each bulk point in the Lorentzian $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ correspondence.
- In the CFT_1 case, the OPE block is a bulk local operator because the co-dimensional two surface is a point.

- We related **two-boundary points** to each **bulk point** in the **Lorentzian $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ correspondence**.
- In the **CFT_1** case, the **OPE block** is a **bulk local operator** because the **co-dimensional two surface** is a **point**.
- We probed the **AdS_2 Riemann curvature tensor** using the **holonomy of the modular Hamiltonian**. Because this tensor only has **one** physical degree of freedom, and we can directly study the AdS_2 space, we explicitly confirmed the relation between the **modular Berry transport** and the **curvature**.