Anisotropic Gravitational Wave Background from Non-Gaussian Perturbations



Kin-Wang Ng (吳建宏)

Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica

NCTS Annual Theory Meeting 2019 NTHU, Dec 11, 2019

Cosmological sources for gravitational waves



Increasing strength of gravitational waves

Gravitational Waves

$$h_{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{P=+,\times} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int_{S^2} d\mathbf{n} h_P(f, \mathbf{n}) e^{2\pi i f(-t+\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x})} e_{ij}^P(\mathbf{n}).$$
(1)

Here, the bases for transverse-traceless tensor e^{P} ($P = +, \times$) are given as

$$e^{+} = \hat{e}_{\theta} \otimes \hat{e}_{\theta} - \hat{e}_{\phi} \otimes \hat{e}_{\phi}, \qquad e^{\times} = \hat{e}_{\theta} \otimes \hat{e}_{\phi} + \hat{e}_{\phi} \otimes \hat{e}_{\theta},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle h_{+}(f,n)h_{+}^{*}(f',n') \rangle & \langle h_{+}(f,n)h_{\times}^{*}(f',n') \rangle \\ \langle h_{\times}(f,n)h_{+}^{*}(f',n') \rangle & \langle h_{\times}(f,n)h_{\times}^{*}(f',n') \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{2}(n-n')\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(f-f')$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} I(f,n) + Q(f,n) & U(f,n) - iV(f,n) \\ U(f,n) + iV(f,n) & I(f,n) - Q(f,n) \end{pmatrix},$$



Q= <++> -
U is the same as in
a frame rotated by
$$\pi/8$$

$$e^{R} = \frac{(e^{+} + ie^{\times})}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad e^{L} = \frac{(e^{+} - ie^{\times})}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \langle h_{R}(f, n)h_{R}(f', n')^{*} \rangle & \langle h_{L}(f, n)h_{R}(f', n')^{*} \rangle \\ \langle h_{R}(f, n)h_{L}(f', n')^{*} \rangle & \langle h_{L}(f, n)h_{L}(f', n')^{*} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{D}(n - n')^{2}\delta_{D}(f - f') \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} I(f, n) + V(f, n) & Q(f, n) - iU(f, n) \\ Q(f, n) + iU(f, n) & I(f, n) - V(f, n) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

GWB Anisotropy and Polarization Angular Power Spectra

Decompose the GWB sky into a sum of spherical harmonics: $T(\theta,\phi) = \Sigma_{lm} a_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi), V(\theta,\phi) = \Sigma_{lm} b_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$ $(Q - iU) (\theta, \phi) = \Sigma_{lm} a_{4.lm} {}_{4}Y_{lm} (\theta, \phi)$ $(Q + iU) (\theta, \varphi) = \Sigma_{lm} a_{-4 lm} Y_{lm} (\theta, \varphi)$ $C_{l}^{T} = \Sigma_{m} (a_{lm}^{*} a_{lm})$ anisotropy power spectrum I = 180 degrees/ θ $C_{l}^{V} = \Sigma_{m} (b_{lm}^{*} b_{lm})$ circular polarization power spectrum $C_{l}^{E} = \Sigma_{m} (a_{4,lm}^{*} a_{4,lm}^{+} a_{4,lm}^{*} a_{-4,lm}^{-})$ E-polarization power spectrum $C_{l}^{B} = \Sigma_{m} (a_{4,lm}^{*} a_{4,lm} - a_{4,lm}^{*} a_{-4,lm}) B$ -polarization power spectrum magnetic-type electric-type (Q,U)| - - ` |

Collisionless Boltzman Equation for Gravitons e.g. Bartolo et al. 19

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[-e^{2\Phi} d\eta^{2} + (e^{-2\Psi} \delta_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^{i} dx^{j} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{dx^i}{d\eta} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \frac{dq}{d\eta} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial n^i} \frac{dn^i}{d\eta} = 0$$

Graviton phase space distribution function

$$f = f(\eta, x^i, q, \hat{n}^i)$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} + n^{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \eta} - n^{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{1}{2} n^{i} n^{j} \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \eta} \end{bmatrix} q \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = 0 \\ \delta f &\equiv -q \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial q} \Gamma(\eta, \vec{x}, q, \hat{n}) \end{split}$$
Newtonian potential
$$\Psi = \Phi \equiv T_{\Phi}(\eta, k) \hat{\zeta}(\vec{k}) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Initial scalar} \\ \text{power spectrum} \\ \text{Transfer} \\ \text{function} \\ \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{GW background} & h_{ij} \equiv \sum_{\lambda=\pm 2} e_{ij,\lambda}(\hat{k}) h(\eta,k) \hat{\xi}_{\lambda}(k^i) & \text{Initial tensor} \\ \text{K-mode} & \text{Transfer} \\ \text{function} \end{array}$

$$\Gamma(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \Gamma_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) \qquad e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = 4\pi \sum_{lm} i^{l} j_{l}(kx) Y_{lm}^{*}(\hat{k}) Y_{lm}(\hat{x})$$

Scalar
$$\frac{\Gamma_{\ell m,S}}{4\pi (-i)^{\ell}} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \zeta(\vec{k}) Y^*_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) \mathcal{T}^{(0)}_{\ell}(k,\eta_0,\eta_{\rm in}) \qquad \begin{array}{l} x_0 = 0\\ \eta_0 \text{ today}\\ \eta_{\rm in} \text{ initial} \end{array}$$

where the scalar transfer function $\mathcal{T}_{\ell}^{(0)}$ is the sum of a term analogous to the SW effect for CMB photons, $T_{\Phi}(\eta_{\rm in}, k) = 3/5$ $T_{\Phi}(\eta_{\rm in}, k) j_{\ell}[k(\eta_0 - \eta_{\rm in})]$, plus the analog of the ISW term, $\int_{\eta_{\rm in}}^{\eta_0} d\eta' [T'_{\Psi}(\eta, k) + T'_{\Phi}(\eta, k)] j_{\ell}[k(\eta - \eta_{\rm in})]$. Finally,

Tensor
contribution
$$\mathcal{T}_{\ell}^{(\pm 2)} = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!}} \int_{\eta_{\text{in}}}^{\eta_0} d\eta \, h'(\eta, \, k) \, \frac{j_{\ell} \left[k \, (\eta_0 - \eta)\right]}{k^2 \, (\eta_0 - \eta)^2}$$

Sachs-Wolfe or Integrated Sachs-Wolfe effects – gravitational redshift of gravitons

GWB Anisotropy Map due to SW and ISW Effects

COBE - DMR Map of CMB Anisotropy Four Year Results



South Galactic Hemisphere



Gravitational Wave Anisotropies from Primordial Black Holes

N. Bartolo,^{*a,b,c*} D. Bertacca,^{*a*} V. De Luca,^{*d*} G. Franciolini,^{*d*} S. Matarrese,^{*a,b,c,e*} M. Peloso,^{*a,b*} A. Ricciardone,^{*b*} A. Riotto,^{*d,f*} G. Tasinato^{*g*}

^aDipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia Galileo Galilei Universita' di Padova, 35131 Padova, Italy

^bINFN, Sezione di Padova, 35131 Padova, Italy

^cINAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, I-35122 Padova, Italy

^dDepartment of Theoretical Physics and Center for Astroparticle Physics (CAP), CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

^eGran Sasso Science Institute, I-67100 L'Aquila, Italy

^fCERN, Theoretical Physics Department, Geneva, Switzerland

^gDepartment of Physics, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK

Abstract. An observable stochastic background of gravitational waves is generated whenever primordial black holes are created in the early universe thanks to a small-scale enhancement of the curvature perturbation. We calculate the anisotropies and non-Gaussianity of such stochastic gravitational waves background which receive two contributions, the first at formation time and the second due to propagation effects. We conclude that a sizeable magnitude of anisotropy and non-Gaussianity in the gravitational waves would suggest that primordial black holes may not comply the totality of the dark matter.

Primordial black hole seeds or density (scalar) perturbation associated GWs

$$\left[rac{\partial^2}{\partial\eta^2}+rac{2}{a}rac{da}{d\eta}rac{\partial}{\partial\eta}-ec
abla^2
ight]h_{ij}=\left[igcup$$

Free gravitational wave equation

De Sitter vacuum fluctuations during inflation lead to almost scale-invariant primordial gravitational waves $P_h = 8\pi G H^2$ and $\Delta_{\zeta}^2 = \langle \zeta \zeta \rangle = (\delta \rho / \rho)^2 \sim 2x10^{-9}$ on CMB scales

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\eta^2} + \frac{2}{a}\frac{da}{d\eta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} - \vec{\nabla}^2\right]h_{ij} = 16\pi GT_{ij}$$

Stress due to transverse traceless part of 2nd order curvature perturbation $T_{ij}(\zeta^2)$ $\Delta_{\zeta}^2 = \langle \zeta \zeta \rangle = (\delta \rho / \rho)^2 \sim 10^{-3}$

When large curvature perturbation re-enter the horizon during the radiation-dominated era and collapse to form PBHs, they induce gravitational waves at short wavelengths Ananda, Clarkson, Wands 2007, Baumann, Steinhardt, Takahashi, Ichiki 2007

GWs associated with PBHs in modulated axion inflation





Initial value $\frac{\Gamma_{\ell m,I}(q)}{4\pi (-i)^{\ell}} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Gamma(\eta_{\rm in}, \vec{k}, q) \times Y^*_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) j_{\ell} \left[k (\eta_0 - \eta_{\rm in}) \right]$

Anisotropic GWB from non-Gaussian long wavelength modes

The non-Gaussianity of the primordial scalar perturbations is parametrized by

$$\zeta(\vec{k}) = \zeta_g(\vec{k}) + \frac{3}{5} f_{\rm NL} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \zeta_g(\vec{p}) \, \zeta_g(\vec{k} - \vec{p}),$$

Planck $-11.1 \le f_{\rm NL} \le 9.3$, at 95% C.L.

Non Gaussian
$$\zeta \to \langle \zeta^4 \rangle = \left(1 + \frac{24}{5} f_{\rm NL} \zeta_L\right) \left(\langle \zeta_s^2 \rangle \langle \zeta_s^2 \rangle + \langle \zeta_s^2 \rangle \langle \zeta_s^2 \rangle + \langle \zeta_s^2 \rangle \langle \zeta_s^2 \rangle\right)$$

long wavelength mode short wavelength mode

$$\Gamma(\eta_{\rm in}, \vec{x}, q, \hat{n}) = \frac{3}{5} \tilde{f}_{NL}(q) \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \zeta_L(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

$$ilde{f}_{ ext{NL}}\left(k
ight)\equivrac{8\,f_{ ext{NL}}}{4-rac{\partial\lnar{\Omega}_{ ext{GW}}\left(\eta,k
ight)}{\partial\ln k}}$$

which is similar to the SW effect due to the scalar mode but modulated by a factor of f_{NL}

Cosmological GW spectral energy density

tion h_{ij} is gauged to be transverse-traceless. The latter can be decomposed into two polarization unit tensors as

$$h_{ij}(\eta, \vec{x}) = \sum_{\lambda=+,\times} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} h_\lambda(\eta, \vec{k}) \epsilon_{ij}^\lambda(\hat{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}, \qquad (2)$$

where $h_{\lambda}(\eta, \vec{k})$ is a Gaussian random field that defines the power spectrum of tensor perturbation,

$$\langle h_{\lambda}(\eta,\vec{k})h_{\lambda'}^{\star}(\eta,\vec{k}')\rangle = \delta(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')\frac{2\pi^2}{k^3}\mathcal{P}_{h}^{\lambda\lambda'}(\eta,k).$$
(3)

In the following, we will assume that $\mathcal{P}_{h}^{\lambda\lambda'}(\eta, k) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\mathcal{P}_{h}(\eta, k)$. Then, the spectral energy density of the GWs relative to the critical density is given by

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(\eta,k,\hat{k}) \equiv \frac{k}{\rho_c} \frac{d\rho_{\rm GW}}{dkd^2\hat{k}} = \frac{1}{96\pi} \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^2 \bar{\mathcal{P}}_h(\eta,k), \quad (4)$$

where $\rho_c = 3M_p^2 H^2$ and the overbar denotes taking a time-average. For k-modes that re-enter the horizon

GW observables



The method adopted in current GW experiments for detecting SGWB is to correlate responses of different detectors to the GW strain amplitude. This allows us to filter out detector noises and obtain a large signal-tonoise ratio [4]. Let $h(\vec{x})$ be the response of a detector located at \vec{x} with a pair of arms d_{ij} ; then, we have

$$h(\vec{x}) \equiv d^{ij}h_{ij}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\lambda=+,\times} \int \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} h_\lambda(\vec{k}) F^\lambda(\hat{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}},$$
(6)

GW power spectrum $P_h(k) \equiv (2\pi^2/k^3)\mathcal{P}_h(k)$ where $F^{\lambda} = d^{ij} \epsilon_{ij}^{\lambda}(\hat{k})$ is the beam-pattern function. Hence, using Eq. (3) the response correlation between two detectors a and b, located at $\vec{x}_a = \vec{x} + \vec{r}/2$ and $\vec{x}_b = \vec{x} - \vec{r}/2$ respectively, is given by

$$\langle h_a(\vec{x}_a)h_b(\vec{x}_b)\rangle = \sum_{\lambda=+,\times} \int \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} P_h(k) F_a^\lambda(\hat{k}) F_b^\lambda(\hat{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}},$$

(7)

Non-gaussian density perturbations

Newtonian potential $\phi(\vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \phi(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}},$ $\Phi(\vec{x}) = \phi(\vec{x}) + f_{NL} \left(\phi(\vec{x})^2 - \langle \phi(\vec{x})^2 \rangle \right) \qquad \langle \phi(\vec{k})\phi^*(\vec{k'}) \rangle = \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k'})P_{\phi}(k).$

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi\left(\vec{x}+\vec{r}/2\right)\Phi\left(\vec{x}-\vec{r}/2\right)\rangle_{\rm subvolume} &= \int_{|\vec{k}|>k_{\rm min}} \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} P_{\phi}(k) \bigg[1+4f_{NL} \int_{|\vec{p}|$$

For $\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} \ll 1$, we have the position dependent power spectrum,

$$P_{\Phi}(k,\vec{x}) \simeq P_{\phi}(k) \left[1 + 4f_{NL} \int_{|\vec{p}| < k_{\min}} \frac{d^{3}\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \phi(\vec{p}) e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right].$$

Induced GW power spectrum

$$P_h(k,\vec{x}) \simeq P_h(k) \left[1 + 8f_{NL} \int_{|\vec{p}| < k_{\min}} \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \phi(\vec{p}) e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right],$$
(15)

so the observed spectrum is given by $P_h(k, \hat{k}) = P_h(k, x_h \hat{k})$, where $x = x_h$ is the comoving distance to the horizon re-entry and $k_{\min} = \pi/x_h$. Let us expand

$$P_{h}(k,\hat{k}) \simeq P_{h}(k) \left[1 + f_{NL} \sum_{lm} a_{lm} Y_{lm}(\hat{k}) \right],$$
$$a_{lm} = 32\pi i^{l} \int_{|\vec{p}| < k_{\min}} \frac{d^{3}\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} j_{l}(px_{h})\phi(\vec{p})Y_{lm}^{*}(\hat{p}). \quad (16)$$
$$x_{h} = \eta_{0} - \eta_{c} \simeq \eta_{0}$$

which is the superhorizon-mode contribution ($p\eta_0 < \pi$) of the SW effect

WMAP 5-year data: $f_{NL} = -100 \pm 100$, l < 100

Implication

- These non-Gaussian superhorizon-mode density perturbations have been used to explain the large-scale CMB anomalies
- Anisotropy in GWB may give an independent evidence of the non-Gaussianity.





South-North Power Asymmetry Eriksen et al 04 Park 04





Conclusion

- GWB is a main goal in GW experiments
- GWB monopole and Doppler dipole
- GWB anisotropy and polarization
- Correlate with other cosmological data such as LSS, CMB
- In analogous to CMB, GWB is a deep probe of the early Universe
- Perspectives

